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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This supplement updates the 1995 Strategic Plan For Providing Public Access to Maine Waters for Boating and Fishing, developed jointly by the Maine Department of Conservation (DOC) and the Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (DIF\&W). This report:

- outlines the efforts since 1995 of the Maine Department of Conservation and the Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife to provide public boating and fishing access;
- describes how funds from Maine's Gasoline Tax and Sport Fishing Restoration Fund are currently spent;
- updates the State and federal programs available to fund public boating access;
- discusses the current funding issues facing the State agencies as they work to provide public access for boating and fishing;
- describes current enforcement of boating laws and boating safety educational efforts;
- proposes agency actions for current and increased funding levels.

The 1995 Strategic Plan For Providing Public Access to Maine W aters for Boating and Fishing has been an effective tool to focus and prioritize State agency actions on public access to Maine waters. It remains the framework for Maine's water access programs today and should continue to guide future activity, unless funding and staffing are increased to take advantage of new initiatives/opportunities to accelerate the programs.

## Since 1995, consistent progress to improve public access to Maine's waters has been made.

- the Department of Conservation (DOC) has ensured public access at 36 boating access sites and renovated 36 boating facilities;
- the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (DIF\&W) has acquired 20 new sites to provide boat access to lakes and ponds, 13 sites that provide public boat and canoe access at strategic locations on navigable rivers with the highest fisheries values, and 9 sites by fee or easement for bank fishing access along streams supporting moderate to high value fisheries. DIF\&W also has developed 19 new public access sites;
- the Small Harbor Improvement Program (SHIP), funded through a Department of Transportation bond issue, has provided $\$ 2.5$ million to support 41 waterfront and harbor improvement projects, of which 24 included public access;
- the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's relicensing process has resulted in six new public access sites;
- an interagency committee is pursuing opportunities for water access at MDOT bridge crossings and along public roads next to water bodies.
- the Public Access to Maine Waters Fund was funded in 1999 when Maine voters approved a $\$ 50$ million bond for the Land for Maine's Future Program. This generates $\$ 5$ million for public access, of which up to $\$ 1$ million can be spent each year.
- Other programs that enhance public access include the Maine Coastal Program, the Maine Outdoor Heritage Fund, and the Submerged Lands Fund.

The Boating Facilities Fund (BFF) receives about $\$ 1.5$ million annually from the Gas Tax. Income from the Gas Tax is capped at $\$ 2$ million and gas tax refunds to commercial boaters are deducted from this amount. Another $20 \%$ of this revenue is transferred to the Department of Marine Resources for research. The BFF has spent more than has come in as income for the past few years and an accrued balance has been drawn down. The cost of acquiring and developing facilities has increased. The percentage of funds used each year for design, development and acquisition has increased since 1995, from $55 \%$ to $64 \%$.

The Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife received over $\$ 361,000$ for access improvements from the Sport Fisheries Restoration Act. Another $\$ 136,000$ was transferred from the BFF as required state matching funds. DIF\&W uses these funds to provide public access to Maine waters with high fisheries potential.

## The need for public access to Maine waters is formidable.

- 1809 inland waterbodies have no assured public access sites.
- 186 lakes over 500 acres in size do not have assured public access.
- there is an acute need for public access to coastal waters in southern Maine. The State Planning Office and the Department of Marine Resources have identified 20 high priority areas.

In the five years since the publication of the 1995 Strategic Plan, the departments have exceeded the targets for major repair/renovation and acquiring new sites; however these targets assumed existing staff and funding levels. It is more difficult to locate and acquire sites, the sites are more expensive, and it is questionable as to whether programs are keeping pace with the loss of traditional access sites or the demand for new sites where none exist. Suitable potential sites are being lost rapidly.

## New opportunities to improve public access require more funding

- the Land for Maine's Future Board offers new opportunities to acquire public access, but substantial matching funds are required—up to $\$ 500,000$ annually—for five years.
- an interagency bridge review team has identified almost 500 MDOT projects where access could be improved if funding were available. This would cost over $\$ 4$ million over 6 years.


## Education and Enforcement

Effective enforcement of the boating laws and safety training are not only essential to protect public health and welfare; they can profoundly influence local attitudes towards boat access, especially the development of new access sites. Proposals to expand enforcement programs in both the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife and the Department of Marine Resources are presented in the report along with the costs for doing so.

Expanded Boating Safety and Education programs can be added to the Recreational Safety Division of DIF\&W, and make effective use of the existing system of part-time hunter safety coordinators in place across the state.

Proposed actions at current and increased funding levels are included in section 9 of the report.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The 1995 Strategic Plan For Providing Public Access to Maine Waters for Boating and Fishing, described the water access programs of the DOC and the DIF\&W, established ten-year goals and performance targets, listed priorities, and proposed actions to accelerate the preservation of existing public access and prioritize the creation of new access opportunities on inland and coastal waters. This plan has worked well over the past 5 years and remains the basic framework for Maine's water access programs.

This supplement to the 1995 Strategic Plan describes the progress toward the Plan's goals that has been realized since 1995, current funding and expenditure patterns, and needs and opportunities that have arisen since 1995. It concludes by identifying programmatic and funding actions to address the issues under review by the Commission to Study Equity in the Distribution of Gas Tax Revenues Attributable to Snowmobiles, All-terrain Vehicles, and Watercraft, a Legislative study commission established for the 2000 interim.

The Department of Conservation (DOC) and the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (DIF\&W) have programs for providing public access to Maine waters, primarily for recreational boating and fishing. The DOC's Bureau of Parks and Lands (BPL) administers the Boating Facilities Program, which was established in 1963 and is funded principally by the Boating Facilities Fund (BFF). Revenues come from that portion of the gas tax attributed to non-commercial boating. Inland and coastal facilities are developed and managed on land acquired by the bureau or land acquired, developed, and managed by municipalities with technical assistance and grants from the bureau's BFF. Bureau-owned and managed sites are generally large and serve regional needs; grant-assisted sites serve local needs.

The DIF\&W program, established in 1985, is funded by the federal Sport Fishing Restoration Account (SFR) and by an annual transfer of funds from the BFF that provides the required $25 \%$ match for these federal funds. In FY 2000, an additional $\$ 250,000$ was transferred to DIF\&W from the BFF to fund nonfederally funded access projects. The DIF\&W program provides access for fishing primarily on inland waters; the BPL program provides access for all public uses. The two agency programs share information and closely coordinate activities. In 1995, the two agencies jointly produced a ten-year strategic plan for providing public access.

## II. SUMMARY OF 1995 STRATEGIC PLAN

The 1995 Strategic Plan For Providing Public Access to Maine Waters for Boating and Fishing found that demand for public access was rising with the growing popularity of boating and sport fishing, while traditional public access and affordable shorefront lands suitable for access were diminishing. At the same time, siting public access facilities had become more difficult because of increasingly-stringent regulatory requirements, siting conflicts, and the diminishing availability and the increasing cost of available land.

The State had developed 321 public access sites between 1963 and 1995, an average of 10 sites per year. These sites are dispersed across the state among its lakes, rivers, and tidal waters, with heaviest emphasis on lakes. The 1995 strategic plan also outlined state agency roles and responsibilities and outlined strategies for enhanced access through leveraging programs in other agencies.

The primary goal of state fishing and boating access programs is to ensure legal, appropriate, adequate, and equitable means of public access to waters where recreational opportunities exist.

The plan outlines the highest priorities for acquiring and developing access in Maine as:

- prevent the loss of traditional public access sites to other uses;
- disperse demand among priority waters. This is especially important in waters that support regionally significant recreational opportunities near population centers;
- maintain the serviceability of existing sites.

Second order priorities include:

- expand access on waters with inadequate public access;
- diversify the kinds of setting where public access is provided.

The plan established objectives for DOC and DIF\&W as follows:

## DOC 10 year objectives:

- Acquire or develop up to 50 new boating access sites.
- Allocate $20 \%$ of the funds reserved for acquisition or development for carry-in or non-motorboat use.
- Renovate up to 50 existing boating sites.


## IF\&W 10 year objectives:

- Acquire land that provides access to 40 lakes valuable for fishing where traditional access is threatened or unavailable.
- Acquire 8 sites on stretches of navigable rivers valuable for fishing without suitable public access.
- Acquire access for bank fishing along 50 miles of streams with valuable fisheries.

These 10-year objectives were established based on existing staff levels, funding, and capabilities of the programs. They do not necessarily reflect increasing demand or the ability to satisfy all the needs of Maine's boating public and anglers.

The plan also established policies for state agencies to manage Maine's boating and fishing access programs; provide sites in a way that complements other programs and plans; ensure accessibility to sites; establish user fees; ensure appropriate site selection, development and management practices; manage fisheries for public benefit; and limit the navigational aids program.

In 1995, the Boating Facilities Fund accrued $\$ 1.5$ million in gas tax revenues. Of this amount, $\$ 70,000$ was transferred from the Boating Facilities Fund to the DIF\&W to match the federal Sport Fish Restoration Act access monies, which totaled $\$ 210,000$ that year.

The issues and obstacles facing DOC's Boating Facilities Program and the DIF\&W program identified in the 1995 Plan included the lack of suitable land for available for sites, insufficient staff to proactively identify potential sites on priority waters, complex acquisition and permitting requirements, limited rights for public access to flowing waters, local opposition to public sites, sensitivity of waters with remote values and primitive recreational opportunities, inadequate enforcement of boating laws, limited funds and concern for impacts on wildlife.

The 1995 plan unified and focused efforts by State agencies to provide boating access by outlining policies, objectives, and strategies. While the plan helped the agencies focus their efforts, the underlying issues of flat funding, increasing recreational demand, site renovation needs, increased costs for waterfront land and site improvements, and the difficulty in acquiring sites have not changed.

Anecdotal evidence suggested that numerous, traditional sites providing public access to waterbodies and the ocean were being lost each year due to private development, change of ownership, or inappropriate use of the site that led to closing to the public. The 1995 plan concludes with the suggestion that the number of new sites targeted for development might not keep pace with the loss of informal access and demand for new, ensured public access. If that were the case, meeting these needs could only be addressed through additional financial and human resources.

## III. BOATING ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS SINCE 1995

This section outlines progress by four programs towards meeting the goals and objectives of the 1995 Strategic Plan.

## A. DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION

The 1995 Strategic Plan For Providing Public Access to Maine Waters for Boating and Fishing set 10-year objectives for the Department of Conservation. These objectives and the progress DOC has made meeting them are:

## Objective 1-Acquire or develop up to 50 new boating access sites.

Since 1995, the Department of Conservation has assured public access at 36 sites, 22 of which are on the priority list of the 1995 Plan (Appendix B-4). Thirty sites have guaranteed access through grants to local entities; 4 have been acquired by the State; two have been acquired by municipalities with State assistance. See Appendix B for listing of sites acquired and renovated.

Objective 2-Allocate $20 \%$ of the funds reserved for acquisition or development for carry-in or nonmotorboat use.

To date, $3 \%$ of the funds reserved for acquisition and development have been spent on carry-in sites. This percentage is expected to increase in the next five years.

## Objective 3-Renovate up to 50 existing boating sites.

Since 1995, 36 boating facilities within Maine bave been renovated. The average life of a site before it needs renovation is 20 years. The BFF program has been in place since 1963 and an increasing number of sites are in need of repair. When sites are renovated, they must be brought into compliance with ADA requirements. The 36 renovations were accomplished through 22 grants to local entities and 14 capital projects developed by the State. While trying to keep pace with the loss of access and the increase in demand for boat launching facilities, the Boating Facilities Program needs to upgrade and renovate existing sites to keep them safe and useable.

Funding Status: The Boating Facilities Fund receives about $\$ 1.5$ million per year in gas tax revenues. A study conducted for the Bureau in 1989 reported that recreational boating accounted for $3.1 \%$ of Maine's total gasoline tax revenue. The legislation establishing the Boating Facilities Fund earmarks 2\% of the total
gasoline tax revenues for the Fund, capped at $\$ 2$ million. Refunds to commercial boaters are deducted from this $\$ 2$ million and $20 \%$ of the remaining funds are allocated to DMR to be used for research.

## B. DEPARTMENT OF INLAND FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE

The 1995 Strategic Plan For Providing Public Access to Maine Waters for Boating and Fishing set three 10-year objectives for the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (DIF\&W). These objectives and DIF\&W's progress toward meeting these goals are outlined below.

Objective 1-Acquire land that provides access to 40 lakes valuable for fishing where traditional access is threatened or unavailable.

Since 1995, the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife has acquired 19 new sites for boat access; 15 by purchase and 4 by gift. (See Appendix C.)

Objective 2-Acquire land for eight public boat and canoe access facilities at strategic locations on navigable rivers with highest fisheries values.

Since 1995, 13 sites have been acquired; 11 by gift, 2 by purchase.

## Objective 3-Acquire land in fee or easement for bank fishing access along 50 miles of streams

 supporting moderate to high value fisheries.Nine sites have been acquired since the 1995 Strategic Plan; 6 with funds from other programs, 3 by gift.

## Objective 4-Develop as many sites as possible without limiting acquisition.

In addition to the objectives laid out in the plan, DIF\&W has set as a goal the development of as many sites as possible, provided acquisition is not limited by a shortage of funds. Development may include paving a ramp, or developing parking sites. Since 1995, 19 new sites have been developed by DIF $-6 W$.

Funding Status: The Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife received $\$ 361,047$ in FY 2000 from the motorboat allocation of the Sport Fisheries Restoration Act (SFR). Another $\$ 136,000$ was transferred to DIF\&W from the Boating Facility Fund to provide the state match for these funds. In FY2000, DIF\&W received an additional transfer of $\$ 250,000$ from the BFF to use on access sites too small to warrant proposing as a federal project or access sites that are not eligible for SFR funding.

## C. SMALL HARBOR IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

In 1995, Maine voters passed a $\$ 58.9$ million Transportation Bond issue that set aside $\$ 2.5$ million for the Small Harbor Improvement Program (SHIP). This Program bas funded 41 waterfront and harbor improvement projects in 39 Maine coastal cities and towns along the coast, of which 24 included public access. The projects have included boat launching facilities, floats and gangways, wharfs and piers, and land acquisition. A minimum $20 \%$ local match was required for SHIP grants. This incentive generated approximately $\$ 1.5$ million in local match in addition to the $\$ 2.5$ million in SHIP funds. SHIP funds can be used for commercial boat access sites.

Funding Status: The last SHIP grant was awarded in 1999. Although there is currently no funding, DOT is evaluating whether to include funding for SHIP in its 2001 bond request for transportation projects.

## D. FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

As hydropower projects come before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) for relicensure of dams, public access is one of the needs assessed. Both DOC and DIF\&W review applications and comment on the adequacy of public boat access, canoe portages, and bank fishing opportunities. They assist the applicant in assessing existing sites, evaluating potential new sites, and review development plans for new sites and renovations. Since 1995, six new public access sites have been provided through this process.

Funding Status: These projects are funded primarily by the applicant.

## IV. ADDITIONAL INITIATIVES SINCE 1995

## A. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

The Strategic Plan lists increased cooperation and coordination with the Maine Department of Transportation (MDOT) as a strategy to accelerate the provision of water access. In April of 1999, the Commissioners of MDOT, DIF\&W, DOC and the Department of Marine Resources (DMR) signed a Memorandum of Agreement creating a Public and Recreational Access to Water Crossings and Properties Adjacent to Water Committee. This group reviews MDOT bridge projects in MDOT's Six Year Plan with an eye for planning, identifying, and prioritizing projects that present public access opportunities. In addition, the Committee may identify high priority access opportunities at water crossings not proposed for inclusion in DOT's Six Year Plans and refer those opportunities to the appropriate agency.

The Committee has reviewed MDOT’s 2000/2001 Biennial Transportation Improvement Program (BTIP) and current 2000/2005 Six Year Plan of Needs for opportunities to preserve, enhance and provide access for fishing, boating, snowmobiling, and other forms of recreation. The Committee has developed a list of access projects associated with these bridges, prioritized by need. The next phase of work is to review public access opportunities associated with general highway construction, not associated with bridges, that might provide recreational access opportunities.

A wide variety of the public access opportunities were identified during the bridge project reviews. The opportunity may simply have been to preserve or provide 1 to 4 parking spaces to allow fishing or hand-carry access to a water body. An example of such a project is Rice's Bridge in York, identified as offering an opportunity to provide both fishing and hand-carried boat access. DMR and DOC staff are working with the MDOT Project Manager for this bridge project to capitalize on any opportunities.

Funding Status: MDOT funds are not available to pay for these any of these water access related improvements; however the MDOT is interested in collaborating with other agencies on supplemental funding strategies. The estimated costs of these bridge-related access enhancements are presented later in this document.

## B. 1999 LAND FOR MAINE'S FUTURE BOND ISSUE/WATER ACCESS FUND

The Public Access to Maine Waters Fund ${ }^{1}$ was created in 1993 to get people to the water. It was not funded until the fall of 1999 when Maine voters approved a $\$ 50$ million bond for the Land for Maine's Future Program. This bond required that $10 \%$ of the funds be set aside for the Public Access to Maine Waters Fund. The Fund is designed to:

- preserve, provide, or expand citizen access to public waters;
- provide a diversity of recreational opportunities;
- support fishery management; and
- support Maine's recreation-based businesses and economy.

The Land for Maine's Future Board created an expedited process to allow State agencies and cooperating entities to take quick action to secure water access sites. Updates of the priority lists developed as part of the 1995 Strategic Plan for Providing Public Access to Maine Waters for Boating and Fishing, will be used, as well as a priority list recently developed by the State Planning Office and the Department of Marne Resources for coastal sites. Priorities for inland and coastal water access sites are determined based on the following:

- location of the water and proximity to population centers and other water access sites;
- size of the water and the diversity of recreational opportunities it offers;
- level and proximity to existing public access sites and access needs;
- value of fisheries opportunities based on DIF\&W and DMR evaluation;
- expected demand and diversity of uses of the site, current or anticipated; and
- threat of conversion to other uses, especially private development.

Funding Status: $\$ 5$ million total, of which up to $\$ 1$ million can be spent each year. This funding requires a $33 \%$ match of the total project cost for each project. To date no money has been spent.

[^0]
## C. MAINE'S COASTAL PROGRAM

The Maine Coastal Program at the State Planning Office currently has a small matching fund program to assist municipalities in securing coastal water access. The funds are available to municipalities to match LMF Public Access to Maine Waters Fund and other state funds, and for coastal access planning projects.

Funding Status: There is $\$ 20,000$ budgeted in FY 2001 in the Coastal Program's water access matching fund.

The Coastal Program also helps communities "rediscover" public access ways, and to keep track of existing public access. Each year, small grants of approximately $\$ 1,000$ are awarded to six to nine municipalities or local land trusts to research forgotten or overlooked rights-of-way to the shore.

Funding Status: There is $\$ 10,000$ in the Right-of-Way Discovery Grant Program budget in FY 2001.

## D. MAINE OUTDOOR HERITAGE FUND

The Maine Outdoor Heritage Fund ${ }^{2}$ is funded by proceeds from the Wildlife Lottery Game of the Maine State Lottery. Thirty-five percent of the money in the fund is to be used for "acquisition and management of public lands, parks, wildlife conservation areas and public access and outdoor recreation sites and facilities," more specifically, "areas with proximity to lands dedicated to conservation purposes or public recreation or with access to lands or waters with significant natural resource values." The fund is administered by a board and requires a match of funds. DIF\&W submitted a grant request for $\$ 40,000$ on September 1, 2000 to help fund the purchase of land providing public access to two lakes.

Funding Status: Amounts generated for acquisition and management have averaged $\$ 550,000$ annually.

## E. SUBMERGED LANDS FUND

Since submerged lands are considered a public resource; the public is compensated for the use of that land. The owner of a dock or wharf pays into the Submerged Lands Fund. A subset of that fund, the Shore and Harbor Management Fund, is a potential source of funds, matching or other, for municipal coastal access projects. The Shore and Harbor Management Fund ${ }^{3}$ accrues from submerged lands lease fees. The fund is

[^1]relatively new and the criteria for grants have not been developed. The Submerged Lands Advisory Board will advise the director of the Bureau of Parks and Lands in developing policies and procedures for the grant program, which should be in place in 2001. The Advisory Board has suggested that only the interest from the recent conveyance of submerged land and material to BIW totaling $\$ 1.5$ million be earmarked annually for grants.

Funding Status: The Director of the Bureau of Parks and Lands will determine available funding with advice from the Submerged Lands Advisory Board. The available pool of funds is likely to be in the vicinity of \$75-90,000 a year.

## V. CURRENT FUNDING AND EXPENDITURE PATTERNS

## A. 1995 AND PROJECTED FUNDING FOR ACCESS

The 1995 Strategic Plan projected that Gas Tax revenues available to the Boating Facilities Fund would remain fairly constant at approximately $\$ 1.5$ million annually. FY2000 revenues were $\$ 1,539,005$. In FY 2000, the Sport Fish Restoration Act provided about $\$ 150,000$ more than projected in 1995 and totaled $\$ 361,407$ rather than the $\$ 210,000$ expected.

Figures 1 and 2 illustrate how both the Department of Conservation and the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife allocated their public access funds in 1995. Both agencies projected they would be allocated in the same manner in the coming decade.

Fig. 1. 1995 Boating Facilities Fund Expenditures


Fig. 2. 1995 IF\&W Boating and Fishing Access Expenditures

-Administration 5\%
-Operation and Maintenance 2\%
$\square$ Acquisition, Renovation and Development 93\%

## B. DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION

The Boating Facilities Fund (BFF) was established in 1963 to provide public boat launching sites for recreational boaters. The Boating Facilities Program of the Bureau of Parks and Lands, in the Department of Conservation, administers the BFF. Since 1989, the BFF has received a portion of the $2 \%$ of annual gas tax revenues, capped at $\$ 2$ million ${ }^{4}$. Commercial boaters can receive refunds on their gasoline tax. These refunds are deducted from the $\$ 2$ million allocation and in FY 2000 totaled over $\$ 76,000$. Of the net revenue, DMR receives $20 \%$ to support research, development and propagation activities that will benefit commercial and sport fisheries in the state. This leaves a total of approximately $\$ 1.5$ million coming into the fund each year. This figure may vary slightly from year to year depending on the total refunded to commercial boaters.

## Boating Facilities Fund FY2000

## Source of Funds (Title 36 \$2903-A)

$2 \%$ of Total Gas Tax $=\$ 2,936,942 \quad \$ 2,000,000$
Capped at $\$ 2$ million

Refunds to commercial boaters
(\$76,243)
Net Gas Tax Revenue

$$
\$ 1,923,757
$$

80\% to BP\&L Boating Facilities Fund
\$1,539,005
Songo Lock Fee Income \$29,538
Brought forward from cash balance
860,000
Total Available Funds FY 2000
\$2,428,845

Expenditure of Funds<br>Navigational Aids<br>Program Administration<br>Operation and Maintenance (155 State Sites)<br>Songo Lock O\&M<br>Renovation, Development, Acquisition

Amount Percentage
\$147,320 8.4\%
\$135,407
7.7
\$322,586
18.4
$\frac{\$ 1,117,313}{\$ 1,749,861} \quad \underline{63.9}$

Outstanding Encumbrances \$292,984
One-time Cash Transfer to DIF\&W to Fund \$250,000 Nonfederal Projects ${ }^{5}$
Cash Transfer to DIF\&W to Match Federal \$136,000
Dollars from Sport Fish Restoration Act
Total Expenditures
\$2,428,845

[^2]Fig. 3. Boating Facilities Fund Expenditures


Figure 3 illustrates how these funds were used in the program in FY 2000. Renovation, design and development, and acquisition has taken a larger percentage of the program's funding, as costs to acquire and develop sites increase. In 1995, design and development costs were $55.8 \%$ of the total program costs and were projected to remain constant; in FY 2000 these costs amounted to $64 \%$.

## C. DEPARTMENT OF INLAND FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE

The Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife receives water access funds through the Sport Fish Restoration Act. This funding is generated by a tax on sport fishing equipment and a portion of the federal gasoline tax. In FY 2000, DIF\&W received a total of $\$ 2,409,383$, of which $15 \%$ ( $\$ 361,407$ ) is dedicated for motorboat access. At the request of DIF\&W, the BFF provides the state funds needed to match these federal funds.

## DIF\&W's Public Access to Maine's Waters

FY 2000

## Source of Funds

| Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration | $\$ 361,407$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Office of the Commissioner |  |
| Cash Transfer from BFF to match Federal Dollars | $\$ 30,000$ |
| from Sport Fish Restoration Act | $\$ 136,000$ |
| Net Revenue and Transfer | $\$ 527,407$ |
| Cash Transfer to DIF\&W to support projects that <br> are not federally-assisted ${ }^{6}$ | $\$ 250,000$ |
| $\quad$Gas Tax Revenues to DIF\&W <br> Brought Forward from Cash Balance | $\$ \mathbf{\$ 2 8 1 , 9 0 4}$ |
| Total Available Funds FY 2000 | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 5 9 , 3 1 1}$ |

Expenditure of Funds
Program Administration ( $50 \%$ of Federal Aid
$\quad$ Coordinator's time)
Land Acquisition and Overhead
Land Development and Reconstruction
Operation and Maintenance (79 sites)
Sub-total Expenditures
Outstanding Encumbrances
Total Expenditures FY 2000

| Amount | Percentage |
| ---: | :---: |
| $\$ 30,000$ | $6 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 157,328$ | 31.5 |
| $\$ 290,223$ | 58.1 |
| $\$ 21,606$ | $\underline{4.4}$ |
| $\$ 499,157$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

[^3]Fig. 4. IF\&W Boating Access Expenditures FY2000


```
\squareProgram Administration
    6%
    Land Acquisition and
    Overhead 32%
\squareLand Development and
    Reconstruction 58%
    Operation and
    Maintenance 4%
```

Figure 4 illustrates how DIF\&W allocates their funding for boating and fishing access. When the land acquisition and land development and reconstruction figures are combined, they are similar to 1995 and projected allocations in the 1995 Strategic Plan.

## D. DEPARTMENT OF MARINE RESOURCES

The Maine Department of Marine Resources (DMR) receives 20\% of the portion of the Gas Tax that is attributed to boaters--set by statute at $2 \%$ and capped at $\$ 2$ million annually--after refunds have been made to commercial boaters. In FY2000, this allocation totaled $\$ 390,899$. These funds must be used to conduct research, development, and propagation activities on projects that are most beneficial to Maine's sport and commercial fisheries.

## FY2000 Gas Tax Revenue Fund

## Source of Revenues

DMR share of Gas Tax Revenues
$\$ 390,899$

## Expenditures

Personal Services 362,688
Administrative Support and STACAP $\quad \underline{15,818}$
Total Expenditures \$378,506

Fig. 5 Maine Department of Marine Resources
Gas Tax Revenue Expenditures

## Fiscal Year 2000



## VI. PUBLIC ACCESS NEEDS

## A. BACKGROUND

The State of Maine has several programs to improve public access to waters but more resources are needed if the present activities of the DOC and DIF\&W are to be maintained or accelerated. Although there are 379 state-owned or state-assisted public boat launching sites in Maine, and privately-owned public boat launching sites required by FERC licenses, many water bodies and coastal areas currently lack "assured" access for the general boating public. Some areas have assured public access, but designed parking capacities are regularly exceeded leading to traffic congestion and unauthorized, off-site parking. Many of the state-owned or stateassisted launching sites are more than 20 years old, the expected life of a facility, and are in need of substantial repair or renovation to keep ramps, entrance roads, parking areas, privies, and grassed areas usable and attractive. At the time of renovation, facilities must be brought into compliance with ADA requirements.

In the five years since the publication of the 1995 Strategic Plan, the departments have generally exceeded the targets established for major repair/renovation and the provision of new sites; however these targets assumed existing staff and funding levels. It is getting more difficult to locate and acquire sites, the sites are more expensive, and it is certainly questionable as to whether the programs are keeping pace with the loss of traditional access sites or the demand for new sites where none exist. It is clear that suitable potential sites are being lost rapidly.

The public recognizes that current program efforts need to be expanded and that acquiring threatened or potential shorefront sites for public access needs to be accelerated. This is evidenced by the passage of the Land For Maine's Future Bond Issue (1999) that provides up to $\$ 5$ million to acquire shorefront property sites for watercraft access, and the establishment of the interagency bridge access review committee. The rationale to quickly acquire sites is compelling: the value of shorefront property continues to rise, in some instances at an alarming rate; and shorefront ownership changes and/or development, often eliminate site suitability and availability for future public access.

## B. LAKES AND PONDS

DIF\&W's Maine Lakes Inventory File lists 2078 inland waters. The department's biologists have documented that 445 of these lakes and ponds have some form of established way for the public to
access the water body, although it is not known how permanent or adequate these sites are; 1591 do not have any public access. Since 227 of the 379 state-owned or state-assisted boat launching sites are located on lakes or ponds, it appears that 218 of the 445 access ways have no formal assurances that they will remain open to public use. This translates into a total of 1,809 waterbodies without specific, "assured" access sites. These findings are illustrated in figure 6.

Fig. 6. Public Access to Maine's Lakes and Ponds


The DIF\&W lists 226 lakes with high fisheries values of which 45 have been assigned the highest priority to acquire "assured" access sites. To prioritize access needs, the DOC rated (1995) Maine's 186 lakes over 500 acres in size from 1 to 12; the higher the number, the higher the need. Of this list, 28 lakes have a rating of 8 or higher, 49 lakes have a rating of 6 or higher, and 9 lakes have a rating of 4 or higher.

## C. RIVERS AND STREAMS

DIF\&W estimates that 6,400 miles of rivers and streams are navigable regardless of water level; 3,200 are navigable at high water. There are 114 state-owned or state-assisted sites on rivers.

## D. BRIDGE ACCESS

The Public and Recreational Access Committee reviewing MDOT bridge projects for potential access has identified opportunities to enhance access that could be carried out over the next 6 to 7 years, if funding is available. It is estimated by MDOT that the 2002-2007 Six Year Plan offers 477 water access projects:

- bridge fishing at 62 bridges $(\$ 2,408,800)$;
- parking enhancements near 204 bridges $(\$ 408,000)$;
- carry-in for small watercraft at 57 bridges $(\$ 285,000)$;
- access for riverbank fishing at 143 bridges $(\$ 715,000)$; and
- boat launching ramps at 11 bridges $(\$ 550,000)$.


## E. COASTAL ACCESS

The 1995 Strategic Plan includes a prioritized list of access needs for freshwater lakes, ponds, and rivers but until recently, a comparable list did not exist for coastal waters. The State Planning Office and the Department of Marine Resources have developed a prioritized list of coastal water access needs. This provides the agencies with a degree of focus for the coast that has not existed before and will expedite the search for sites to acquire with assistance from the Land for Maine's Future Fund and other funding sources.

The SPO and DMR report - to be submitted to the Land For Maine Futures Board in October — identifies towns and stretches of shoreline popular with boaters and anglers that currently have no State-sponsored or State-assisted boat access facilities, or that have facilities but need added capacity to keep up with demand.

Sites were prioritized based on the following criteria: proximity of existing public water access sites and needs for additional sites in the region; location of the stretch of shoreline in relation to population centers; demand for better access in a region based on saltwater angler participation and surveys of saltwater anglers; value of the region's recreational saltwater fisheries; and threat of conversion to other uses, particularly nonfisheriesrelated uses.

The report finds that there is an acute need in southern Maine, most notably York County and upper Casco Bay, where real estate pressures and user demands are greatest. The report identifies 20 coastal towns as first priority areas, 23 coastal towns as second priority areas, and 38 coastal towns as third priority areas for boating access facilities (See Appendix E).

Because coastal lands are being developed quickly and actively, the report recommends that the State take a more pro-active role to identify potential sites and work with towns, realtors, developers and local conservation groups on public access land acquisition proposals. The report further recommends to:

- create and maintain a database of information on first and second priority areas and on existing State access sites;
- appoint or hire a state staff person, or hire a contractor, to work proactively on public water access sites;
- identify towns and groups that are interested in creating or improving public access sites;
- determine the availability of suitable land and the most appropriate type of access (boat launch, carry-in, bank fishing) for first and second priority areas; and
- seek cooperating agencies or other entities that will hold title and manage public water access sites.


## F. DATA BASE

There is no central information source on public access and yet several agencies are working to improve it. A comprehensive, continually-updated data base of public access to the state's waterbodies and coastal areas needs to be developed and maintained to more accurately describe the "assured" status of existing public access, to effectively identify the need for sites, to better coordinate work between agencies, and to more tightly identify priorities and opportunities.

## G. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC ACCESS NEEDS

## 1. Inland Lakes and Ponds:

- DIF\&W survey of 2078 lakes and ponds reports 1809 without assured public access;
- 186 high priority water bodies over 500 acres in size do not have assured public access according to the DOC;
- the average cost to acquire and develop inland access sites is $\$ 100,000$ per site;
- if the current rate of 10 acquisition and development projects continues, $\$ 1$ million per year is required;
- the longer it takes, the higher the cost, and the fewer the opportunities for public access, as land sales and waterfront development continue;


## 2. Coastal Access

- SPO/DMR coastal inventory identifies 20 areas of highest priority need;
- the estimated cost of coastal acquisition and development is $\$ 400,000$ to $\$ 1$ million per site;
- the cost to acquire and develop 2 new sites per year is $\$ 800,000$ to $\$ 2$ million;
- to acquire and develop 20 new sites to meet highest priority needs will cost $\$ 8$ to $\$ 20$ million.

3. Bridges

- 477 MDOT projects offer the opportunity to improve public access.
- The cost to develop bridge access enhancements exceeds $\$ 4.3$ million.


## VII. PUBLIC ACCESS FUNDING

## A. THE BOATING FACILITIES FUND

At current funding levels, the Boating Facilities Fund cannot maintain and renovate existing public access sites and provide new access sites at the pace it has for the last five years. In addition, current staffing levels in both the DOC and DIF\&W limit the amount of work that can be accomplished, how well the work is done, and how effectively program staff can address issues raised by local residents. Without additional funds, the new opportunity presented by the Public Access to Maine Waters Fund to acquire access sites, and access enhancements identified by the MDOT's Public and Recreational Access Committee, cannot be fully realized except at the expense of current activities. Also, current levels of staffing, legal support, and other project assessment, preparation, and administrative requirements will limit agencies' abilities to move quickly and take full advantage of the unique potential of these new initiatives.

It is difficult to project long-term costs to purchase suitable properties and develop new sites. Shorefront property values and rates of increase in values can vary significantly. Although the minimum size of properties suitable for access facilities are often relatively small--varying from 0.5 to 2 acres-available, suitable properties, may be larger and include structures whose presence adds to the cost. The cost to acquire coastal property for access is especially high (est. $\$ 2-\$ 800,000$ ), as is the average cost to develop these sites $(\$ 200,000)$. The cost to permit and develop facilities also varies substantially with site conditions, location, and proximity to a public road.

The average costs for various activities for the past 5 years are listed below.

|  | BFF | DIF\&W |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Average cost to renovate a site | $\$ 70,000$ |  |
| New inland site development | $\$ 33,823$ | $\$ 74,218$ |
| Average cost to acquire land and easements | $\$ 27,000$ | $\$ 59,386$ |

Annual income to the BFF is limited to approximately $\$ 1.5$ million. In order to meet the costs of renovation, design, construction, and acquisition, as well as higher costs for other elements of the Boating Facilities Program (navigational aids, site maintenance, program administration) expenditures have exceeded annual income by an average of $\$ 460,000$ per year over the last five years. Expenditures were drawn on a cash balance in the fund built up prior to 1995, when annual income usually exceeded total program costs.

At the BFF's level of activity of the past five years, the cash balance of $\$ 1,740,403(6 / 30 / 00)$ will be reduced to less than $\$ 1.24$ million at the end FY 2001, and $\$ 780,000$ at the end of FY 2002. This amount falls below the minimum needed at the end of a fiscal year (est. $\$ 800,000-\$ 1$ million) to effectively administer the program during the first quarter of the ensuing fiscal year, before new gas tax revenues are available. If additional revenues are not available for the BFF, the program must be scaled back. The Boating Facilities Program operational costs total at least $\$ 770,000$ a year, which leaves only $\$ 730,000$ for renovation, design, construction, and acquisition-- a reduction of more than $40 \%$ of funds available for these activities.

## B. SPORT FISH RESTORATION PROGRAM

Income available annually to the DIF\&W for motorboat access from the Sport Fish Restoration program is variable and somewhat unpredictable, due to fluctuations in the required percentage to be used for this purpose and variations in total income to the fund. Amounts transferred to DIF\&W annually since 1995 have averaged $\$ 309,659$. The amounts are shown in figure 7 .

Fig. 7 Sport Fish Restoration Funding


These funds require a $25 \%$ state match, provided by an annual transfer to DIF\&W from the BFF administered by the Bureau of Parks and Lands.

## C. LAND FOR MAINE'S FUTURE/WATER ACCESS FUND

The passage of the Land for Maine's Future bond issue in 1999 provides $\$ 5$ million to the Access to Maine Waters Fund. These funds present both a new opportunity and a raised expectation that acquiring shorefront sites to provide access for the boating public will receive high priority. However, these funds require a match
of $33 \%$ of the total project cost- $\$ 500,000$ annually. If matching monies are provided by the BFF at its current income level, it will accelerate the erosion of the fund balance. This in turn will slow renovation and new site development. While other sources of matching funds are available (e.g., the Outdoor Heritage Fund or private donations), they are not expected to approach the match amount needed. In addition, new staff or contractual resources are needed to proactively search for and evaluate potential properties. This represents a new cost to the BFF, as does the increased cost of maintenance when new sites are added to the state's responsibilities.

## D. CURRENT FUNDING SUMMARY

## A. Boating Facilities Fund Expenditures for Renovation, Design, Development, and Acquisition

- $\$ 1.5$ million annual income to BFF ;
- $\quad \$ 1.2$ million spent annually for renovation, design, development, and acquisition;
- BFF expenditures have exceeded income by an average of $\$ 460,000$ per year for the last 5 years;
- at past expenditure level the current cash balance of $\$ 1.7$ million will be reduced to $\$ 780,000$ by the end of FY 2002.
- $\$ 800,000$ to $\$ 1$ million is needed at the start of each fiscal year to cover first quarter activities \& encumbrances;
- at least $\$ 770,000$ is needed each year for other program activities (costs will increase as new sites are added and need to be maintained);
- Only $\$ 730,000$ would be available for design, development, and acquisition. That is $\$ 470,000$ less per year than is currently used.


## B. Sport Fishing Restoration

- $\$ 361 \mathrm{~K}$ in FY 2000 ; requires a $25 \%$ state match or about $\$ 136,000$ per year.


## C. Land For Maine's Future Fund

- $\$ 1$ million a year for 5 years; requires $33 \%$ match or $\$ 2.5$ million total over 5 years.


## D. Maine Outdoor Heritage Fund

- $\$ 550,000$ annually available for acquisition and management projects; access sites only one of several eligible types of projects; requires $33 \%$ match.


## E. Shore and Harbor Improvement Fund

- $\$ 80,000$ may be available in 2001 for municipal coastal access projects. Matching requirement undetermined.

At current funding levels, State programs will continue to renovate, develop, and acquire sites for public access to Maine's waters as expeditiously as possible, guided by the policies of the 1995 strategic plan and assisted wherever possible by leveraged state or federal monies. However, because of the cash balance of the

BFF is projected to be drawn down, the rate of access enhancements achieved from 1995-2000 cannot be sustained. Further, despite strong public support for accelerated acquisition of sites, current funding will not allow agencies to take full advantage of new opportunities embodied in the Land For Maine's Future's Water Access Fund and identified by MDOT's Public and Recreational Access at Water Crossings and Properties Adjacent to Water Committee.

## VIII. ENFORCEMENT AND EDUCATION

One of the impediments for acquiring public access sites is public resistance. Effective enforcement of the boating laws and safety training are not only essential to protect public health and welfare; they can have a profound influence on local public attitudes towards boat access, especially the development of new access sites.

The Department's of Marine Resources and Inland Fisheries and Wildlife have primary responsibility over the management of the State's wildlife, inland fisheries, and marine life. Enforcement of State laws and agency regulations for use of these resources is a major responsibility of each agency, and enforcement staff have full authorities to enforce all of the laws of the state. Over the years, State laws and regulations for registration and use of watercraft have steadily evolved, and enforcement personnel from both agencies have been looked upon as the primary means of enforcing these requirements. Funding from watercraft registration fees and federal funds received annually from the US Coast Guard for watercraft-related programs fund this work. In the early 1990's it became evident that the demands on each agency for enforcing watercraft laws and regulations were rapidly increasing and the agencies were being forced to divert resource management funds to pay for watercraft enforcement. In 1998, the Legislature instituted a graduated fee structure based on horse power rating to increase funding to cover watercraft related programs administered by each agency.

Although the Department's of Marine Resources and Inland Fisheries and Wildlife are considered the primary means of enforcing watercraft registration and use, all enforcement officers at the municipal, county or state level have the authority to enforce the watercraft laws and rules of the State in their jurisdictions. Harbormasters also have the authority to enforce the watercraft laws of the State within their municipalities.

Over the years, the nature of watercraft use of Maine's inland and coastal waters has steadily changed. Many waters that were once undeveloped and used at relatively low levels and with small craft are now ringed by year-round and seasonal dwellings, and support a multitude of recreational activities using every conceivable form of watercraft. Boat ownership and usage have increased along with boating registrations. In addition to registered watercraft, the numbers of canoes and kayaks that do not require registration has dramatically increased. Laws have been enacted to reduce user conflicts, reduce safety risks, and impacts on wildlife; however conflicts among users and others are increasing and place demands on both agencies that can not be met with existing resources.

Education is an extremely important component of any discussion of watercraft safety and conflicts. Currently, the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife offers watercraft education courses on a voluntary basis but very few people enroll in programs. Programs taught by the Coastal Guard Auxiliary and the U.S. Power Squadron are primarily offered in coastal areas and are frequented by coastal boaters. Leaflets on boating safety risks and watercraft laws are available and pertinent information is posted at public boating launching facilities.

Some of the factors influencing the demand for a greater presence by both agencies on the state's waters:

- Residential and seasonal development in shoreland areas is accelerating across the state. These new landowners are less tolerant of recreational activities that occur on adjacent public waters.
- A $10 \%$ increase in watercraft registrations from 112,559 in 1990 to 129,226 in 1999.
- Maine Revenue Service indicates that $\$ 151,341,500$ was spent in Maine on boat purchases in 1999.
- The gradual but continuing increase in population from 1,227,928 in 1990 to 1,253,040 in 1999.


## A. RECREATIONAL BOATING ENFORCEMENT

## Bureau of Marine Patrol, Department of Marine Resources

## Mission

Maine will have a marine resource-based industry that is safe and encourages personal stewardship to support sound fishery management. The Department will administer programs to implement and enforce the laws and regulations necessary for public health and safety and sustainable fishery management.

## Activities (FY 2000 statistics)

Presently the Bureau of Marine Patrol responds to complaints from the boating public and conducts safety patrols.

- About $24 \%$ of the Bureau of Marine Patrol's time (18,714 hours) was focused on providing marine recreational boating law enforcement on Maine's tidal waters. The majority of this time was spent in routine watercraft patrol conducting watercraft inspections for boating safety equipment and registration.
- $76 \%$ of the Marine Patrol's time was spent enforcing marine fishing laws, commercial and recreational, along Maine's 3,500 -mile coast.
- The total number of hours worked by Marine Patrol Officers was 79,145 .
- Marine Patrol Boats operated 7,081 hours. In that time, officers made 25,098 watercraft checks and found 1,479 violations.
- Marine Patrol Officers provide boating law enforcement patrols during marine events throughout the state (fireworks displays, marine regattas, etc.).
- Marine Patrol Officers attend boating and sportsmen shows to meet with the public and provide boating safety education. They attend regional and national boating law enforcement meetings to stay current in their profession.


## Staffing

The Bureau has a total complement of 51 sworn law enforcement officers. Forty-seven of those officers work in the field, which includes

- 34 Marine Patrol Officers,
- 6 Marine Patrol Specialists [boat captains],
- 6 Field Sergeants, and
- 1 Marine Patrol Pilot.

There are also 2 Marine Maintenance Mechanics working at the repair facility in Rockland.

## Watercraft Assets

The Marine Patrol currently has the following watercraft assets:
One 44-foot patrol vessel
Five 35-foot patrol vessels
Sixteen 21-foot patrol vessels
Six 17-foot trailered patrol vessels
Six 13-foot rigid hull inflatables

A watercraft repair facility is located in Rockland. The Bureau also has a plane used for law enforcement coastwise that is based out of the Brunswick Naval Air Station.

## Current Budget

The primary sources of the Bureau's revenues are the General Fund and the Watercraft Fund.
During FY2000, the Bureau of Marine Patrol committed the following resources toward the enforcement of safe boating laws and education:

| Personnel--22,709 hours | $\$ 768,7177$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Boat operations-- 18,656 hours | $\$ 902,842$ |
| Flight time-- 204 hours | $\$ 16,320$ |
| Vehicle mileage--210,185 miles | $\$ 56,750^{8}$ |
| Total | $\$ 1, \mathbf{7 4 4 , 6 2 9}$ |

## Opportunities to improve boating law enforcement by the Department of Marine Resources

## Proposal 1

With a moderate increase in funding the Bureau would expand the seasonal workforce by hiring and training seasonal (six month) Marine Patrol Officers to conduct focused boating safety patrols along the tidal waters of the state during peak boating activity. Nine additional staff members would be located as follows throughout the regions:

Kittery area - 1; Portland area - 1; Kennebec - 2; John's Bay - 1; Penobscot - 2; Downeast - 2 .

A full-time Marine Patrol Lieutenant would be hired to train, manage, and coordinate this new group of officers and the entire Watercraft Program. The Lieutenant's duties would include: recruitment, training and supervision of a seasonal Marine Patrol Officer workforce; liaison with Inland Fisheries and Wildlife; management of the watercraft repair facility; coordination of marine boating law enforcement programs for state, county and local law enforcement; coordination of boating education programs with DIF\&W, US Power Squadron and USCG Auxiliary; and recommending policies involving boat safety, education and law enforcement.

Equipment and support for this expanded workforce would require 1 additional Marine Maintenance Mechanic and 7 new boats ( $17^{\prime}-19^{\prime}$ trailerable boats) equipped with state of the art apparatus for rescue and navigation.

## Budget

The total cost for this level of support would be $\$ 419,776$ in year one and $\$ \mathbf{3 6 9 , 3 5 8}$ in year two. These costs are broken down in the following paragraphs and summarized at the end of this section.

[^4]Salary and benefit costs for a seasonal (six month) Marine Patrol Officer at step one of the appropriate pay scale are approximately $\$ 21,680$. Support costs (uniforms, gear, truck lease payments) and other costs amount to approximately $\$ 12,250$ in the first year (purchases of full uniforms and truck equipment) and $\$ 6,000$ for each year thereafter. Total costs in year one for nine seasonal Marine Patrol Officers would be $\$ 305,370$. In year two, considering a step increase in salary and lower on going support costs, the total costs would be $\$ 256,320$.

Salary and benefit costs for a full time Lieutenant position at step one of the appropriate pay scale are approximately $\$ 63,596$. Support costs (similar to Marine Patrol Officers) would be approximately $\$ 15,500$ in year one. Total costs in year one for the Lieutenant position would be $\$ 79,096$, in year two $\$ 76,025$ (lower on going costs after year one).

Salary, benefits and support costs for a full time Marine Maintenance Mechanic in year one would be approximately $\$ 35,310$, in the second year $\$ 37,013$ (no one time first year purchases).

| $\quad$ Proposed Positions | Year One | Year Two |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 9 Seasonal Marine Patrol Officers | $\$ 305,370$ | $\$ 256,320$ |
| Marine Patrol Lieutenant | $\$ 79,096$ | $\$ 76,025$ |
| Marine Maintenance Mechanic | $\$ 35,310$ | $\$ 37,013$ |
| Total | $\$ 419,776$ | $\$ 369,358$ |

## Proposal 2

To provide highly visible patrols throughout the state and in some of the more remote areas, the Marine Patrol recommends moving to full-time professional Marine Patrol Officers. Full time officers are more highly trained, qualified, and experienced to work the coastal waters of the state, and if assigned smaller patrol areas could greatly increase their presence and more effectively support both fisheries management and boating needs. The public sentiment is essentially for more presence, somebody on the water making sure boats are being operated safely and available in emergency situations. One of the most important outcomes of increased visibility is that it increases voluntary compliance of Maine's boating laws and regulations.

With higher funding, 6 full-time Officers and 4 seasonal Officers are proposed. A full time Marine Patrol Lieutenant would train, manage, and coordinate this work force and the entire Watercraft Program as described previously. A full time clerical position (one Clerk 3 position) and a full time Marine Maintenance Mechanic to be located at the watercraft repair facility would provide support. The current watercraft repair facility in Rockland would be renovated and expanded.

DMR has a strong outreach program with the marine industries that can be followed in the recreational boating area as well. By developing partnerships and relationships with groups such as the US Coast Guard Auxiliary, the Power Squadron, Maine Boaters Association and others, DMR can increase their effectiveness through combined resources and collaborative programming.

## Budget

The total cost for this level of support would be $\mathbf{\$ 6 3 4 , 5 5 4}$ in year one and $\mathbf{\$ 5 8 6 , 3 1 0}$ in year two. These costs are broken down in the following paragraphs and summarized at the end of this section.

Salary and benefit costs for a full time Marine Patrol Officer at step one of the appropriate pay scale are approximately $\$ 43,150$. Support costs such as uniforms, gear, truck lease payments and other costs amount to approximately $\$ 15,500$ in the first year (purchase of full uniforms and truck equipment) and $\$ 9,250$ for each year thereafter. As envisioned in the substantial increase plan, total costs in year one for six full time Marine Patrol Officers would be $\$ 351,900$. In year two, considering a step increase in salary and lower on going support costs, the total costs would be $\$ 325,260$.

Costs for four seasonal (six month) Marine Patrol Officers (salary, benefits and support costs) for year one would total $\$ 135,720$; in year two $\$ 113,920$. Costs for one full time Lieutenant position (salary, benefits and support costs) for year one would total $\$ 79,096$; in year two $\$ 76,025$. Costs for one full time Marine Maintenance Mechanic position (salary, benefits and support costs) for year one would total $\$ 35,310$; in year two $\$ 37,013$. Costs for one full time Clerk Typist III position (salary, benefits and support costs) for year one would total $\$ 32,528$; in year two $\$ 34,092$.

Proposed Positions<br>6 full time Marine Patrol Officers<br>4 seasonal (6 month) Marine Patrol Officers<br>1 full time Lieutenant position<br>1 full time Marine Maintenance Mechanic 1 full time Clerk Typist III

| Year One | Year Two |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| $\$ 351,900$ | $\$ 325,260$ |
| $\$ 135,720$ | $\$ 113,920$ |
| $\$ 79,096$ | $\$ 76,025$ |
| $\$ 35,310$ | $\$ 37,013$ |
| $\$ 32,528$ | $\$ 34,092$ |

## The Maine Warden Service, Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife

Mission
To protect Maine's fish and wildlife resources and enforce the fish and wildlife laws of the State of Maine. The Bureau carries out its charge specific to watercraft enforcement, education and safety by enforcing the laws and department rules for managing and protecting the inland fisheries and wildlife resources of Maine; by enforcing laws and department rules for registration and operation of snowmobiles, watercraft, and allterrain vehicles; by administering programs for the safe operation of snowmobiles, watercraft, and all-terrain vehicles; and by enforcing laws and department rules for whitewater rafting.

Activities (FY 2000 statistics)
During FY 2000, Maine Game Wardens worked a total of 227,445 hours.

- Boating enforcement constituted approximately $2 \%$ of the total enforcement time for Warden Service personnel, or 4,461 hours directly related to boating law enforcement.
- Checks for compliance with boating regulations were made on 18,317 watercraft of various type. These checks ranged from a routine inspection of safety equipment and registrations to actual prosecution of boaters.
- 951 boating related violations were observed and addressed through prosecutions and warnings.
- Fishing enforcement, which routinely involves a simultaneous safety inspection of a watercraft, accounted for 50,616 hours (approximately 21\%) of a Game Warden's enforcement time.
- Game Wardens also incurred 9,275 hours of report writing, public relations, maintenance, training, and administration associated with boating enforcement and education.

The Department's five Warden Divisions cover Maine's 2,700 Great Ponds and all inland rivers above head of tide. Each geographic division is unique in terms of recreational boating activity. Waters in the southern and central portions of the state receive the bulk of the recreational use, and generate a proportional share of the enforcement-related activity.

## Staffing

The Maine Warden Service is composed of 124 uniformed law enforcement personnel. One hundred and ten are patrol officers and first line supervisors who are responsible to carry out the field enforcement duties of the agency. A routine daily work schedule allows for only two thirds of the field force to be working at any
given time. Vacations and compensation time often cuts the number to only half of the field force working on a regular workday.

## Watercraft Assets

Each district game warden is issued a patrol watercraft ranging in size from $14^{\prime}$ to $23^{\prime}$ ' in length. Wardens patrolling a large body of water such as Sebago or Moosehead Lake have a watercraft permanently stationed on the lake and an additional watercraft on a trailer for patrolling smaller bodies of water in their assigned area. Wardens with remote ponds with limited access may keep a canoe or small boat stowed along shore to alleviate trailering a watercraft for patrol purposes.

The Maine Warden Service has the following inventory:
150 boats ranging from 14 ' to $23^{\prime}$ in length, not all having motors or trailers.
1 hovercraft for search and rescue
3 airboats for search and rescue
99 canoes

Current Budget FY 2000

Department Revenues

| Watercraft Registration | $\$ 1,076,692$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Coast Guard Grant | 548,391 |
| Watercraft fines | $\underline{45,974}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 7 1 , 0 5 7}$ |

Department Expenditures

| Enforcement | $\$ 1,293,037$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Education | 15,000 |
| Registration | 283,934 |
| Administration | 15,000 |
| Commissioner | $\underline{25,000}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 3 1 , 9 7 1}$ |

## Opportunities To Enhance Boating Law Enforcement And Compliance With Boating Laws

The July 2000 Department Program Evaluation prepared for the Legislative Citizens’ Advisory Committee to Secure the Future of Maine's Wildlife and Fish included an assessment of the resources it would take to
support a moderate increase in enforcement of watercraft, snowmobile and ATV laws and regulations. The assessment proposed 20 full time officers, with adequate equipment and supervision.

Watercraft enforcement accounted for approximately $26.6 \%$ of the Department's entire recreational enforcement effort in FY 2000. The following figures represent the proposed costs for a moderate increase in watercraft enforcement.

## Budget with Moderate Increase

| Personal Services | $\$ 297,981$ (6 additional staff) |
| :--- | :---: |
| All Other | $\$ 79,800$ |
| Capitol | $\$ \underline{90,440}$ |
| Total | $\$ 468,221$ |

To significantly increase Warden Service presence and response capabilities requires a substantial increase in available resources, and involves adding 12 wardens to the staff. This level of staffing allows for smaller patrol districts, an increase in the presence of Warden Service on local waters, an increased ability to respond to requests from the public, and coordination with local law enforcement officials.

## Budget with Substantial Increase

| Personal Services | $\$ 595,962$ (12 additional staff) |
| :--- | :--- |
| All Other | $\$ 159,600$ |
| Capitol | $\$ 180,880$ |
| Total | $\$ 936,442$ |

## B. RECREATIONAL BOATING - SAFETY AND EDUCATION

Increased boater education decreases the need for enforcement. The Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife proposed mandatory boater education program to the 119 th Maine Legislature. The proposal was not adopted but the need for a better boating education program was recognized. In lieu of new laws, enhanced education must result from innovative ideas and agencies and organizations working together in a collaborative fashion. This proposal embodies that collaborative effort in a joint effort of the DIF\&W and DMR and other interested volunteer organizations to increase boating safety and education.

## Current Efforts of DIF心W

The Recreational Safety Division's efforts focus on mandated Hunter Safety Education. Boater Safety Education is not required in Maine although the DIF\&W has advocated for it in the past and will continue to explore the idea.

Currently, DIF\&W's Recreational Safety Division employs 11 Recreation Safety Coordinators. They are part-time, 750 -hour positions equaling 4 FTEs. The DIF\&W is reallocating the existing 11 Coordinator positions to 8 and establishing a new Coordinator Supervisor to oversee the regional coordinators and an expanded educational program that includes all recreational vehicles. Each coordinator covers a distinct geographical area and has a staff of volunteer instructors. Currently there are approximately 1,000 hunter safety instructors, and 84 also teaching boating safety. In 1999, the DIF\&W sponsored National Association of State Boating Law Administrators (NASBLA) certified boating safety programs for 369 students. Many of the training materials used in these programs are relevant for an expanded program.

Six Maine schools have full safety educational programs integrated into their curriculum that include hunter, ATV, and snowmobile safety. These programs are led by the Recreation Safety Coordinators. The DIF\&W's Information and Education Division currently produces and distributes seasonal boating safety and educational press releases and printed materials. District Game Wardens also offer boating safety and education as part of their routine public relation activities on a seasonal basis.

## Current Efforts of DMR

The Bureau offers the Officer Salty Program in Maine schools on a limited basis, as they are able to staff it. Twelve officers currently go into the schools, set up an aquarium and teach about marine animals. A boating safety component could be added to that program. This program teaches the importance of boating safety and conservation to schoolchildren with the objective of setting high standards early in their lives. IT is hoped that this will promote both safety and conservation as adults.

## Other Sources of Boating Safety and Education Programs

In 1999 the Coast Guard Auxiliary had 314 volunteer personnel in Maine. These volunteers certified 800 students in their boating education program, made 979 vessel checks (courtesy checks) and clocked 1,463 patrol hours. They are an untapped volunteer resource that could staff educational programs in a wellcoordinated and supported boating safety and education program cosponsored by DIF\&W and DMR.

## Opportunities to Expand Watercraft Education

Expanded Boating Safety and Education programs can be added to the Recreational Safety Division of IF\&W, and make efficient use of the existing system of part time hunter safety coordinators in place across
the state. An expanded watercraft education program would require increasing the number of hours each coordinator works from $750 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr}$. to $1,040 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr}$. ( 20 hours per week). With this increased staff time more educational programs could be offered and more creative approaches to educating boaters can be explored.

With additional resources, the DIF\&W recommends that these 8 part-time positions be increased to full-time coordinators ( $40 \mathrm{hr} /$ week; 2,080 $\mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{yr}$ ), with an additional support staff person. These coordinators would take on responsibilities and content areas related to boating rather than having specially dedicated boating safety coordinators that only cover one component of recreational safety education.

The Recreational Safety Coordinators are assigned specific geographical areas. Each coordinator would identify people within their regions to offer boating courses. All staff at the coordinator level would be responsible for all education disciplines including boating and the marine component.

Marine Patrol Officers could volunteer to become instructors in this future scenario to give them visibility in this collaborative program housed in DIF\&W. At least once a year, each Officer could teach a boating safety course targeted towards marine safety. DMR staff would help develop additional materials, brochures, and literature, as well as promote the boating safety programs through their other programs and enforcement activity. DMR would also join in a collaborative effort with DIF\&W to raise awareness about the positive outcomes of mandatory boating safety education.

## Proposed Budget for Safety and Education

With a moderate increase in funding, the Department recommends increasing each of the 8 coordinator positions to $1,040 \mathrm{hrs} /$ year. The additional salary costs would be $\$ 32,062$. Support costs (travel, telephone, administrative support) and training materials would be $\$ 173,040$. The total increase in the budget would be \$205,162.

With a substantial increase in funding, a mandatory boater education with 8 full-time coordinators is assumed. The cost in salary and benefits for 8 full-time coordinators would be $\$ 259,920$. Support costs (vehicles, travel, phone) would be $\$ 68,000$. An additional full-time support staff person would have salary and benefits of $\$ 22,838$. Training materials including student manuals and associated costs for all educational disciplines would be $\$ 209,328$. The total cost of this expanded program would be $\$ 560,086$.

## Moderate level of funding increase

Increase hours of 8 coordinators to 1,040 per year
\$32,062 (\$8015.50 each)

Total \$205,102

Of this total, $\$ 26,277$ would be attributed to an increased boating education program.

## Substantial level of funding increase

| 8 Full-time Coordinators | $\$ 259,920$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Support costs | 68,000 |
| Support staff | 22,838 |
| Training materials | $\underline{209,328}$ |
|  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 5 6 0 , 0 8 6}$ |

Of this total, $26 \%$ or $\$ 145,622$ would be time spent in mandatory boating education.

## Coordinator Time Breakdown

|  | 750 Hours |  | 1040 Hours |  | Full-time 2040 <br> Hours |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education <br> Program | Hours | Students | Hours | Students | Hours | Students |
| Hunting | 400 | 7542 | 500 | 9427 | 750 | 14140 |
| Boating | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 0 0}$ |
| ATV | 125 | 719 | 177 | 1013 | 350 | 2026 |
| Snowmobile | 125 | 618 | 177 | 1013 | 350 | 2026 |
| Other |  |  | 50 |  | 100 |  |

The staff at both DMR and DIF\&W for boating enforcement, safety and education is already stretched and boating activity continues to increase. Increased staffing is key for more presence on the water. This in and of itself results in more voluntary compliance with Maine boating laws and offers increased enforcement and exciting potential for an active boating safety and education program.

Both agencies agree that in order to most effectively increase boating law enforcement, safety and education, additional resources should be integrated into the existing structures at DMR and DIF\&W. By increasing the numbers of Marine Patrol Officers and District Wardens with additional fully authorized officers, enforcement of recreational boating will be increased in a coordinated fashion.

## IX. PROPOSED ACTIONS

## A. CURRENT FUNDING LEVELS

- DOC/DMR/DIF\&W/MDOT: fund a survey of a sample of registered boat owners to estimate the current percentage of the gasoline tax generated by recreational boating and identify access concerns/needs;
- DOC/SPO/DMR: develop and maintain a comprehensive data base of coastal access sites and needs to guide and track status, opportunities, and updated priorities. Contract for services as BFF or other funding sources permit;
- DOC/SPO/DMR: identify opportunities to meet access needs in those high priority areas identified in the SPO/DMR access needs study as lacking adequate access. Contract for services as BFF or other funding sources permit.
- DOC/DIF\&W: develop and maintain comprehensive, statewide data base of access status on inland water bodies. Contract for services as BFF and other funding sources permit;
- DOC/DIF\&W: continue renovation, development, and acquisition of access sites consistent with priorities and targets of 1995 Strategic Plan. Explore sources of matching funds for LMFF/Water Access fund. Propose and sponsor access acquisition projects to the LMFB, MOHF, and other potential sources of assistance for approval as funding and staff capabilities permit;
- MDOT/DOC/DIF\&W/DMR: continue active participation in review of MDOT bridge and road projects to identify and refine opportunities for enhancing access at suitable sites, as current funding permits;
- DMR/DIF\&W: Enforcement and Education as described in the previous section.


## B. INCREASED FUNDING

- DOC/DIF\&W: continue to exceed targets for renovation, development, and acquisition presented in 1995 Strategic Plan;
- DOC/DIF\&W: increase program emphasis on acquisition and accelerate purchase of suitable, available inland and coastal sites by providing matching funds needed for LMF/Water Access Fund for both state and local acquisition as appropriate, as funding permits; increase use of contracted services to proactively search for available, suitable sites in high priority areas.
- MDOT/DOC/DIF\&W: participate in the development and management of new access sites and access enhancements associated with bridges and public roads as identified by the Public and Recreational Access at Water Crossings and Properties Adjacent to Water Committee.
- DIF\&W/DMR: Hire additional staff to increase enforcement presence and response capabilities as described in the previous section.
- DIF\&W: Build on current education system of regional coordinators to increase the outreach and instructional capabilities.


## APPENDIX A—GAS TAX LEGISLATION

## 36 MRSA $\mathbb{}$ 2903-A. Finding of fact

The Legislature makes a finding of fact that the percentage relationship of "gasoline tax" paid by that segment of the non-highway gasoline user, the motorboat user, is not less than $2.00 \%$ of the total "gasoline tax" revenue. Based on this legislative finding of fact, there is set aside $2.00 \%$ of the total excise tax, not to exceed $\$ 2,000,000$, on internal combustion engine fuel sold or used within the State, but not including internal combustion engine fuel sold for use in the propulsion of aircraft. From this $2.00 \%$ allocation is deducted the refunds paid out under section 2908 to purchasers and users of internal combustion engine fuel for commercial motorboats; $20 \%$ of the balance of $2.00 \%$ after paying out such refunds must be paid to the Treasurer of State to be made available to the Commissioner of Marine Resources for the purpose of conducting research, development and propagation activities by the department, and it is the responsibility of the Commissioner of Marine Resources to select activities and projects that will be most beneficial to the commercial fisheries of the State as well as the development of sports fisheries activities in the State; the remaining $80 \%$ of the balance of $2.00 \%$ after paying out such refunds must be credited to the Boating Facilities Fund, established under Title 12, section 1896, within the Maine State Bureau of Parks and Lands. The State Tax Assessor shall certify to the State Controller, on or before the 15th day of each month, the amounts to be credited under the previous sentence, as of the close of the State Controller's records for the previous month. When refunds paid to purchasers and users of internal combustion engine fuel for commercial motorboats in any month exceed $2.00 \%$ of gasoline tax revenues for that month, such excess must be carried forward in computing amounts to be credited to the Department of Marine Resources and to the Boating Facilities Fund under this section for the succeeding month or months. Funds credited to the Department of Marine Resources must be allocated by the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over appropriations and financial affairs. The Bureau of Parks and Lands, the Department of Marine Resources, the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife and the Department of Transportation shall devise and agree to a system for determining the percentage of the gasoline tax and special fuels tax that results from fuel purchases for boating uses and whether those uses are for pleasure or commerce and for salt or freshwater boating. The Bureau of Parks and Lands shall ensure that proper records are kept to provide input for this system. Beginning February 1, 1991, and every 3 years thereafter on February 1st, the Bureau of Parks and Lands shall issue to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over taxation matters a report based on an analysis of data according to this section. The Boating Facilities Fund must be used to fund the costs of this activity.

## \$2903-B. Finding of fact

The Legislature hereby makes a finding of fact that the percentage relationship of "gasoline tax" paid by that segment of the non-highway gasoline user, the snowmobile user, is not less than $.5 \%$ of the total "gasoline tax" revenue, but certainly is more than the $.5 \%$ referred to. Based on this legislative "finding of fact" there is set aside $.5 \%$ of the total excise tax on internal combustion engine fuel sold or used within the State, but not including internal combustion fuel sold for use in the propulsion of aircraft. From this .5\% allocation, $10 \%$ shall be paid to the Treasurer of State to be made available to the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife; this money to be expended for the purpose set forth in Title 12, section 7824. The remaining $90 \%$ of the $.5 \%$ shall be credited to the Snowmobile Trail Fund of the Bureau of Parks and Lands, established under Title 12, section 7824. The State Tax Assessor shall certify to the State Controller by the 15th day of each month the amounts to be credited under this section as of the close of the State Controller's records for the previous month.

In addition to the set aside of $.5 \%$ of the total excise tax on internal combustion fuel sold or used within this State, the Legislature finds that an additional percentage of $.17 \%$ must be paid to the Treasurer of State to
be made available to the Snowmobile Trail Fund of the Department of Conservation, Bureau of Parks and Lands, established under Title 12, section 7824.

## §2903-C. Finding of fact

The Legislature makes a finding of fact that the percentage relationship of "gasoline tax" paid by that segment of the non-highway gasoline user, the all-terrain vehicle user, is estimated to be not less than $.045 \%$ of the total "gasoline tax" revenue. Based on this estimate, there is set aside $.045 \%$ of the total excise tax on internal combustion engine fuel sold within this State, not including internal combustion fuel sold for use in the propulsion of aircraft. This $.045 \%$ allocation must be expended for the purposes required by Title 12, section 7854, subsection 4, paragraph B. The State Tax Assessor shall certify to the State Controller by the 15th day of each month the amounts to be credited under this section as of the close of the State Controller's records for the previous month.

New or Renovated sites funded by the Boating Facilities Fund since 1995
Renovated sites in bold italics

| Abbot | Piper Pond |
| :--- | :--- |
| Abbot | Whetstone Pd |
| Acton | Great East Lake |
| Acton | Horn Pond |
| Addison | Eastern Harbor |
| Allagash | Allagash River |
| Auburn | Lake Auburn Route 4 |
| Bath | Kennebec River South End |
| Bowdoinham | Cathance River |
| Bridgton | Highland Lake |
| Bridgton | Moose Pond |
| Brunswick | Androscoggin River Mill S |
| Brunswick | New Meadows River |
| Danforth | Grand Lake Green Cove |
| Denmark | Moose Pond |
| Dexter | Wassookeag Lake |
| Eagle Lake | Eagle Lake |
| East Machias | Gardner Lake |
| East Machias | Hadley Lake |
| Eliot | Piscataquis River |
| Edmunds TWP | Cobscook |
| Franklin | Donnell Pond |
| Fryeburg | Swans Falls |
| Gardiner | Kennebec River |
| Hampden | Penobscot River |
| Hiram | Clemons Pond |
| Hiram | Stanley Pond |
| Howland | Penobscot River |
| Jonesport | Chandler Bay |
| Lubec | Johnson Bay |
| Mariaville | Graham Lake |
| Marshfield | G Mile Pond |
| Meddybemps | Meddybemps Lake |
| Monmouth | Cobbossee |
| Naples | Songo Lock |
| Nobleboro | Pemaquid Pond |
| No. Yarmouth | Royal River |
| Oakfield | Spaulding Lake |
| Oakfield | Timoney Lake |
| Oakland | Messalonskee |
| Pleasant Ridge Plantation | Wyman |
| Portage Lake | Portage Lake |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| Rangeley | Mooselookmeguntic @ Haines <br> Landing <br> Rangeley |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rangeley | Rangeley Lake at Oquossoc <br> Richmond |
| Ripley | Kenneley I Town Park |
| Robbinston | Ripley Pond |
| Sangerville | St Croix River |
| Scarborough | Mahanock Pond |
| Scarborough | Scarborough River/Ferry Beach |
| Sidney | Scarborough River/Pine Pt.. |
| So. Portland | Kennebec River |
| Southwest Harbor | Fore River |
| Stoneham | Southwest Harbor |
| Swansville | Kewaydin Lake |
| T1R11WELS | Swan Lake |
| T2R9WELS | Namakanta |
| T2R10WELS | Debsconeag |
| T4R1WBKP | West Branch Penobscot |
| T4R1WBKP | Mooselook |
| T10R12WELS | U. Richard. |
| TC So. Arm | Churchill |
| Thomaston | Richardson |
| Tremont | St. George River |
| Union | Bass Harbor |
| Vassalboro | T Tree Pond |
| Vassalboro | China Lake |
| Weston | Three Mile Pond. |
| Woolwich | E. Grand Lake |
|  | Nequassett Lake |

## APPENDIX C—DIF\&W PROGRESS SINCE 1995

## Objective 1

Acquire land for boating access to 40 lakes with moderate to high fisheries value.

| Accomplishments | Location | Grantor | Date Acquired |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Androscoggin Lake <br> Concord Pond | Wayne | David Ault, Norine Jewell <br> Woodstock | 2 Parcels - Kehrley/Morton |

## Objective 2

Acquire land for 8 public boat and canoe access facilities at strategic locations on navigable rivers with highest fisheries values.

| Accomplishments | Location | Grantor | Date Acquired |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Androscoggin River | Hanover | Mead Oxford/Town | December 15, 1999 |
| Aroostook River | Fort Fairfield | Town of Fort Fairfield | March 27, 1996 |
| Aroostook River | Presque Isle | B \& A Railroad | December 1, 1995 |
| Kennebec River | Pittston | Norma Tewes | July 18, 1995 |
| Kennebec River | Woolwich | Norman Lynch | July 21, 1997 |
| Kennebec River/shipyard lot | Phippsburg | Frederick \& Ada Haggett | May 16, 1995 |
| Meduxnekeag River | Houlton | Town of Houlton | July 3, 1997 |
| Penobscot River | Winn | Herbert and Virginia Haynes | May 23, 1995 |
| Piscataquis River | Guilford | Town of Guilford | July 8, 1997 |
| Piscataquis River | Dover | Town of Dover-Foxcroft | August 2, 1995 |
| Piscataquis River | East Dover | Town of Dover-Foxcroft | August 2, 1995 |
| Piscataquis River | Howland | Town of Howland | September 17, 1996 |
| Sandy River | Farmington | James and Ruth Sawyer | October 1999 |

## Objective 3

Acquire land in fee or easement for bank fishing access along 50 miles of streams supporting moderate to high value fisheries.
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { Accomplishments } & \text { Location } & \text { Grantor } & \text { Date Acquired } \\ \text { Belgrade Stream } & \text { Mount Vernon } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Belgrade Stream Acres } \\ \text { Trust }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { December 22, 1995 }\end{array} \\ & & \text { Danforth } & \text { Bradford White, et al }\end{array}\right)$ May 11, 1995

## Objective 4

Develop as many sites as possible without limiting acquisition.

| Accomplishments | $\underline{\text { Location }}$ | Date In Service |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Wayne | 1999 |
| Androscoggin River Ferry Landing | Hanover | 2000 |
| Aroostook River | Fort Fairfield | 1996 |
| Aroostook River | Presque Isle | 1996 |
| Doe Pond | Monson | 2000 |
| Dyer Long Pond | Jefferson | 1996 |
| Grace Pond | Upper Enchanted | 1996 |
| Meduxnekeag River | Houlton | 1998 |
| Pemaquid Pond | Nobleboro | 1995 |
| Penobscot River | Winn | 1996 |
| Piscataquis River - Chambers Site | Dover-Foxcroft | 1996 |
| Piscataquis River - East Dover Bridge | Dover-Foxcroft | 1997 |
| Piscataquis River | Guilford | 1998 |
| Piscataquis River | Howland | 1997 |
| Prong Pond | Greenville | 1999 |
| Sawyer Pond | Greenville | 1999 |
| Sebago Lake - Jordan Bay | Raymond | 2000 |
| Trickey Pond | Naples | 2000 |
| Wilson Pond | Greenville | 1996 |

## APPENDIX D - MDOT FUNDING ESTIMATES

Public \& Recreational Access to Water Crossings \& Properties Adjacent to Water<br>Estimated Funding Needs for Water Access September 18, 2000<br>by Steve Abbott, Committee Co-Chair

Committee Members,
The committee has been exploring funding options to address the access needs identified in the bridge phase of access review process. MDOT has made clear that routine MDOT funding sources are not available for these identified needs, yet that we are interested in collaborating with other agencies on supplemental funding strategies. These supplemental funding strategies can only be delivered through cabinet-level resource agency or gubernatorial staff engagement. Some preliminary estimates of the funding needs and the method of deriving them follow:

Total access needs were computed using the following assumptions:

- Only HIGH Priority needs were used in the calculations (218 of 355 reviewed bridges)
- There may be more than one need per bridge

Snowmobile - $\$ 500$ per/linear foot of bridge. (Assumes 4' additional bridge width)
Fishing from Bridge - $\$ 600$ per/linear foot. (Assumes 5' additional sidewalk)
Parking near Bridge - $\$ 2000$ (Assumes 2 spots, 1 each on 2 corners)
Carry-in for small water craft - $\$ 5000$ (For Real Estate)
Access to Riverbank Fishing - $\$ 5000$ (For Real Estate)
Boat launching Ramps - $\$ 50000$ each
Total for Access Needs are as Follows:

## 2002/2007 6 Year Need

Snowmobile - \$455,000 (4 bridges), reviews incomplete, more snowmobile needs anticipated.
Fishing from Bridge - $\$ 2,048,800$ ( 62 bridges)
Parking near Bridge - \$408,000 (204 bridges)
Carry-in for small water craft - $\$ 285,000$ ( 57 bridges)
Access to Riverbank Fishing - \$715,000 (143 bridges)
Boat launching Ramps - $\$ 550,000$ (11 bridges)
TOTAL - \$4,821,800 (218 bridges)

## Estimated for 2002/2003 BTIP (revised 11.21.00)

Snowmobile - \$60,000 (1 bridge), reviews incomplete, more snowmobile needs anticipated.
Fishing from Bridge - \$942,000 (22 bridges)
Parking near Bridge - $\$ 138,000$ (69 bridges)
Carry-in for small water craft - $\$ 105,000$ (21 bridges)
Access to Riverbank Fishing - \$295,000 (59 bridges)
Boat launching Ramps - \$150,000 (3 bridges)
TOTAL - \$1,690,000

## APPENDIX B-1

## Waters Without General Public Access

Appendix B-1 - Lakes identified in the Department of Inland Fisheries \& Wildlife inventory as being without general public access. (List subject to change as access conditions change.)

Lakes marked with a single asterisk have been included in the DIF\&W active list (Appendix B2) because of a reasonable chance of obtaining public access in the near future. Access on the other waters in the list is not being actively pursued at the present time.

Lakes marked with a double asterisk are classified as Management Class 1 or 6 lakes under policies and standards of the Land Use Regulation Commission, with vehicular access prohibited. (See Issue 6)


| COUNTY | TOWN |  | LAKE | ACRES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SEVEN PONDS TWP |  | BEAVER P | 20 e |
|  | SEVEN PONDS TWP |  | ISLAND P (Big) | 350 e |
|  | SEVEN PONDS TWP |  | ISLAND P (Little) | 50 e |
|  | SEVEN PONDS TWP |  | L POND | 95 e |
|  | SEVEN PONDS TWP |  | LONG P | 35 e |
|  | SEVEN PONDS TWP |  | SECRET P | 10 e |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| HANCOCK | DEDHAM |  | BURNT P | 315 g |
|  | DEDHAM |  | GOOSE P | 202 a,c |
|  | DEDHAM |  | HARRIMAN P | 45 a,c, |
|  | DEDHAM | * | MOULTON P | 45 a,c |
|  | DEDHAM |  | MOUNTAINY P | 691 a |
|  | ORLAND | * | HEART P | 73 a |
|  | OTIS |  | FLOODS P | 654 g |
|  | STONINGTON |  | BURNTLAND P | $20 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{j}$ |
|  | T10 SD |  | ROUND P | 205d |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| KENNEBEC | READFIELD |  | MILL P | 12 a |
|  | ROME | * | WATSON P | 66 a |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| KNOX | ROCKPORT |  | MIRROR L | 109 g |
|  | VINALHAVEN |  | ROUND P | 6 g |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| LINCOLN | DAMARISCOTTA | * | LITTLE P | 80 g |
|  | JEFFERSON | * | DEAR MEADOW P | 51 a |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| OXFORD | ALBANY TWP |  | KNEELAND P | 16 a |
|  | ANDOVER N SURPLUS |  | SURPLUS P | 9 d |
|  | BOWMANTOWN TWP |  | BARKER P | 35 d |
|  | BOWMANTOWN TWP |  | BLACK P (Upper) | 30 d |
|  | LOWER CUPSUPTIC TWP |  | MUD P | 6 d |
|  | LYNCHTOWN TWP |  | PARMACHENEE L | 912 d |
|  | MAGALLOWAY PLT |  | CRANBERRY P | 100 d |
|  | PARMACHENEE TWP |  | OTTER P | 14 d |
|  | PARMACHENEE TWP |  | RUMP P | 35 d |
|  | PARMACHENEE TWP |  | WELLS P | 7 d |
|  | PORTER | * | PLAIN P | 16 a |
|  | STONEHAM |  | TROUT P | 64 a |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| PENOBSCOT | CLIFTON |  | BURNT P (Little) | 15 g |
|  | CLIFTON |  | SNOWSHOE P | 8 g |
|  | HOLDEN | * | HOLBROOK P | 280 a |
|  | T06 R08 WELS |  | HAY P | 134 d |



| COUNTY | TOWN |  | LAKE | ACRES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | SANFORD |  | DEERING P | 26 a |
|  | SHAPLEIGH |  | POVERTY P (Little) | $13 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}$ |
|  | SHAPLEIGH |  | SHY BEAVER P | 25 a |
|  | YORK | BOULTER P | 94 g |  |
|  | YORK |  | CHASES P | 133 g |
|  | YORK |  | FOLLEY P | $54 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{j}$ |
|  | YORK |  | MIDDLE P | 37 g |
|  | YORK | WELCHS P | 9 g |  |

con't.
$\mathrm{N}=107$
a Access controlled by small, private landowners.
b Has no sportfish potential.
c Stocking by DIF\&W stopped due to denied access.
d Access controlled by large, corporate landowners.
e Access controlled by large, corporate landowner and private sporting club.
f Access controlled by camp owners association.
g Access controlled by municipal water supply district.
h Access controlled by utility company.
i Limited public access through Canada or by special permission of large corporate landowner.
j Closed to all fishing by State.

## Lakes with High or Moderate Fisheries Value in Need of Guaranteed or Additional Access Sites

Lakes in need of guaranteed public access or additional access development, as identified by DIF\&W regional fisheries biologist. Towns listed only serve to identify the water and are not necessarily the towns where access facilities would be located. (List does not identify all the access needs statewide.)

Lakes marked with an single asterisk are those that have been given highest priority for access acquisition and development.

Lakes marked with a triple asterisk are classified as Management Class 2 lake under the Land Use Regulation Commission's policies and standards with access sites requiring special consideration. (See Issue 6).

| COUNTY | TOWN |  | WATER | ACRES | ACCESS <br> TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANDROSCOGGIN | AUBURN |  | TAYLOR P | 625 | IMPROVED |
|  | LEEDS | * | ANDROSCOGGIN L | 3,980 | IMPROVED |
|  | LEWISTON |  | NO NAME P | 143 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | LIVERMORE | * | ROUND P | 161 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | POLAND |  | TRIPP P | 768 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | POLAND |  | WORTHLEY P | 42 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | TURNER |  | PLEASANT P | 189 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | TURNER |  | BEAR P (Big) | 432 | UNIMPROVED |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AROOSTOOK | BRIDGEWATER | * | PORTLAND L | 41 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | LITTLETON |  | ROSS L | 32 | CARRY-IN |
|  | LUDLOW | * | BRADBURY L | 38 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | MORO PLT | * | ROCKABEMA L | 339 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | NASHVILLE PLT |  | MACHIAS L (Little) | 275 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | NEW CANADA |  | SLY BROOK L (First) | 90 | CARRY-IN |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NEW LIMERICK | * | COCHRANEL | 79 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | NEW LIMERICK |  | GREEN P | 29 | CARRY-IN |
|  | ORIENT | * | DEERING L | 474 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | ST CROIX TWP |  | SAINT CROIX L | 416 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | ST JOHN PLT |  | WALLAGRASS (1st \& 2nd) | 281 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | ST JOHN PLT |  | WHEELOCK L | 160 | CARRY-IN |
|  | T01 R05 WELS |  | MOLUNKUSL | 1,050 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | T07 R05 WELS |  | UMCOLCUS L | 630 | UNIMPROVED |


| COUNTY | TOWN |  | WATER | ACRES | ACCESS TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AROOSTOOK (con't.) | T17 R04 WELS |  | MUD L | 972 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | T18 r10 WELS | * | GLAZIER L | 1,120 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | T19 R11 WELS | * | BEAU L | 2,003 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | WALLAGRASS |  | SOLDIER P | 96 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | WESTON |  | BRACKETT L | 576 | UNIMPROVED |
| CUMBERLAND |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BRIDGTON |  | ADAMS P | 45 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | BRIDGTON |  | BEAVER P | 69 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | BRIDGTON |  | INGALLS (Foster’s) P | 141 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | BRIDGTON |  | LONG L | 4,867 | IMPROVED |
|  | BRIDGTON |  | OTTER P | 90 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | CAPE ELIZABETH |  | GREAT P | 131 | CARRY-IN |
|  | CASCO |  | DUMPLING P | 30 | CARRY-IN |
|  | CASCO |  | OWL P | 20 | WALK-IN |
|  | CASCO | * | THOMAS P | 442 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | FALMOUTH |  | HIGHLAND (Duck) L | 640 | IMPROVED |
|  | NAPLES |  | BAY OF NAAPLES | 762 | IMPROVED |
|  | NAPLES |  | COLD RAIN P | 38 | CARRY-IN |
|  | NAPLES | * | TRICKEY P | 311 | IMPROVED |
|  | RAYMOND |  | NOTCHED P | 77 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | RAYMOND | * | PANTHER P | 1,439 | IMPROVED |
|  | NEW <br> GLOUCESTER |  | SABBATHDAY L | 340 | IMPROVED |
|  | RAYMOND | * | RAYMOND P | 346 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | STANDISH |  | RICH MILL P | 77 | CARRY-IN |
|  | STANDISH | * | WATCHIC P | 448 | IMPROVED |
|  | WINDHAM |  | COLLINS P | 45 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | WINDHAM |  | FOREST L | 198 | UNIMPROVED |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRANKLIN | CHAIN OF PONDS TWP | *** | CHAIN OF PONDS | 700 | IMPROVED |
|  | DALLAS PLT |  | SADDLEBACK L | 358 | CARRY-IN |
|  | DAVIS TWP | * | KENNEBAGO L (Big) | 1,700 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | RANGELEY |  | DODGE P | 230 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | SANDY RIVER PLT |  | BEAVER MTN L | 543 | UNIMPROVED |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HANCOCK | BROOKSVILLE |  | WALKER P | 697 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | BUCKSPORT |  | JACOB BUCK P | 190 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | DEDHAM |  | MOULTON P | 45 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | ELLSWORTH |  | BRANCH L | 2,703 | IMPROVED |
|  | MARIAVILLE |  | GRAHAM L | 7,865 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | ORLAND |  | CRAIG P | 218 | IMPROVED |
|  | ORLAND |  | HEART P | 73 | IMPROVED |


| COUNTY | TOWN |  | WATER | ACRES | ACCESS <br> TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OTIS | * | SPRINGY P (Lower) | 114 | IMPROVED |
|  | T09 SD |  | DONNELL P | 1,120 | IMPROVED |
|  | T10 SD |  | LONG P | 205 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | T10 SD | * | ROUND P | 205 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | T10 SD | * | SPRING RIVER L | 704 | IMPROVED |
|  | T40 MD |  | NICATOUS L | 5,165 | UNIMPROVED |
| KENNEBEC | ALBION |  | LOVEJOY P | 324 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | AUGUSTA | * | ANDERSON (Evers) | 12 | CARRY-IN |
|  | AUGUSTA |  | DAM P | 98 | CARRY-IN |
|  | AUGUSTA |  | GREELEY P | 51 | CARRY-IN |
|  | AUGUSTA |  | THREE CORNERED P | 182 | CARRY-IN |
|  | AUGUSTA |  | TOGUS P | 660 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | BELGRADE |  | GREAT P | 8,239 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | BELGRADE |  | PENNY P | 44 | CARRY-IN |
|  | CHINA |  | RANCH P | 316 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | FAYETTE |  | DAVID P | 297 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | FAYETTE |  | HALES P | 70 | CARRY-IN |
|  | FAYETTE |  | PARKER P | 1,513 | IMPROVED |
|  | LITCHFIELD |  | JIMMY P | 40 | CARRY-IN |
|  | MANCHESTER |  | FAIRBANKS P | 14 | CARRY-IN |
|  | MANCHESTER |  | HUTCHINS P | 100 | CARRY-IN |
|  | MONMOUTH |  | ANNABESSACOOK L | 1,420 | IMPROVED |
|  | PITTSTON |  | NEHUMKEAG P | 178 | CARRY-IN |
|  | ROME |  | WATSON P | 66 | CARRY-IN |
|  | ROME |  | WHITTIER P | 21 | CARRY-IN |
|  | VIENNA |  | FLYING P | 360 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | WAYNE |  | POCASSET L | 601 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | WINDSOR |  | MOODY P | 32 | CARRY-IN |
|  | WINSLOW |  | PATTEE P | 712 | IMPROVED |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KNOX | APPLETON |  | SENNEBEC P | 532 | IMPROVED |
|  | HOPE |  | HOBBS P | 264 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | HOPE |  | LERMOND P | 171 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | HOPE |  | LILY P | 29 | CARRY-IN |
|  | UNION | * | CRAWFORD P | 591 | IMPROVED |
|  | WARREN |  | NORTH P | 338 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | WARREN |  | SOUTH P | 548 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | WASHINGTON |  | IRON P | 11 | CARRY-IN |
|  | WASHINGTON |  | SPRING (Muddy) P | 18 | CARRY-IN |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LINCOLN | ALNA |  | PINKHAM P | 24 | CARRY-IN |
|  | BOOTHBAY |  | ADAMS P | 73 | CARRY-IN |
|  | BREMEN |  | McCURDY P | 192 | CARRY-IN |


| COUNTY | TOWN |  | WATER | ACRES | ACCESS TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BREMEN |  | WEBBER P | 219 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | DAMARISCOTTA |  | LITTLE P | 80 | CARRY-IN |
|  | DAMARISCOTTA |  | PARADISE (Muddy) P | 166 | CARRY-IN |
|  | JEFFERSON |  | GARDINER P | 78 | CARRY-IN |
|  | JEFFERSON |  | CLARY L (Pleasant P) | 666 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | JEFFERSON | * | DEER MEADOW P | 51 | CARRY-IN |
|  | JEFFERSON |  | DYER LONG P | 423 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | JEFFERSON |  | THREE CORNER P | 72 | CARRY-IN |
|  | JEFFERSON |  | TRAVEL P | 102 | CARRY-IN |
|  | NOBLEBORO |  | COOKS P | 73 | CARRY-IN |
|  | SOMERVILLE |  | TURNER P | 193 | CARRY-IN |
| LINCOLN (con't.) | WALDOBORO |  | KALERS P | 87 | CARRY-IN |
|  | WALDOBORO |  | MEDOMAK P | 237 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | WALDOBORO |  | MEDOMAK P (Little) | 75 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | WALDOBORO |  | PETERS (Gross) P | 12 | CARRY-IN |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OXFORD | ALBANY TWP |  | PROCTOR P | 45 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | ALBANY TWP |  | SONGO P | 224 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | BROWNFIELD |  | PEQUAWKET L | 87 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | CANTON |  | FOREST P | 51 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | DENMARK |  | GRANGER P | 125 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | DENMARK |  | PERLEY P | 79 | CARRY-IN |
|  | FRYEBURG | * | KEZAR P | 1,447 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | FRYEBURG |  | KIMBALL P (Lower) | 486 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | HANOVER | * | HOWARD P | 128 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | HARTFORD |  | ANASAGUNTICOOK L | 568 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | HEBRON |  | MARSHALL P | 142 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | HIRAM | * | BARKER P | 206 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | HIRAM |  | SOUTHEAST P | 173 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | LOVELL |  | FARRINGTON P | 89 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | MAGALLOWAYLT | * | STURTEVANT P | 518 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | NORWAY |  | SAND P | 141 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | OTISFIELD |  | MOOSE P | 160 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | OXFORD |  | GREEN P | 38 | CARRY-IN |
|  | OXFORD |  | HOGAN P | 177 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | OXFORD | * | THOMPSON L | 4,426 | IMPROVED |
|  | ERU |  | WORTHLEY P | 375 | IMPROVED |
|  | PORTER |  | BICKFORD P | 237 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | PORTER |  | CHAPMAN P | 13 | CARRY-IN |
|  | PORTER |  | MINE P | 58 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | PORTER |  | PLAIN P | 16 | CARRY-IN |
|  | PORTER |  | TRAFTON P | 56 | CARRY-IN |



| COUNTY | TOWN |  | WATER | ACRES | ACCESS TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T07 R11 WELS |  | BRANCH P (East) | 45 | WALK-IN |
|  | T07 R11 WELS |  | CARPENTER P | 160 | CARRY-IN |
|  | T07 R11 WELS |  | SNAKE P | 275 | CARRY-IN |
|  | WILLIMANTIC |  | BENSON P (Big) | 320 | CARRY-IN |
|  | WILLIMANTIC |  | GRINDSTONE P | 26 | CARRY-IN |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SAGADAHOC | WOOLWICH | * | NEQUASSET L | 392 | IMPROVED |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOMERSET | CARATUNK | * | PLEASANT P | 1,120 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | DENNISTOWN PLT |  | CROCKER P | 227 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | DENNISTOWN PLT |  | RANCOURT P | 23 | CARRY-IN |
|  | DENNISTOWN PLT |  | SUGAR BERTH P | 23 | WALK-IN |
|  | DENNISTOWN PLT |  | WOOD P (Little Big) | 713 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | EMBDEN | * | HANCOCK P | 320 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | FORSYTHE TWP |  | LONG P | 98 | CARRY-IN |
|  | FORSYTH TWP |  | TURNER P (Big) | 111 | CARRY-IN |
|  | FORSYTH TWP |  | TURNER P (Little) | 77 | CARRY-IN |
|  | HARTLAND |  | MORRILL P | 134 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | HARTLAND |  | STAFFORD P | 122 | CARRY-IN |
|  | HARTLAND |  | STARBIRD P | 103 | CARRY-IN |
|  | HOLEB TWP |  | FISH P (Big) | 64 | CARRY-IN |
|  | HOLEB TWP |  | McKENNEY P | 38 | CARRY-IN |
|  | MAYFIELD TWP |  | KINGSBURY P | 390 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | MOOSE RIVER | * | HEALD P | 186 | CARRY-IN |
|  | PARLIN POND TWP | * | PARLIN P | 543 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | UPPER <br> ENCHANTED | * | GRACE P | 150 | CARRY-IN |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WALDO | BROOKS |  | ELLIS P | 93 | CARRY-IN |
|  | BROOKS |  | HALF MOON (Sucker) | 38 | CARRY-IN |
|  | BROOKS |  | PASSAGASSAWAU- KEAG | 118 | CARRY-IN |
|  | KNOX |  | DUTTON P | 36 | CARRY-IN |
|  | KNOX |  | MIXER P | 49 | CARRY-IN |
|  | LINCOLNVILLE |  | COLMAN P | 223 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | LINCOLNVILLE |  | MOODY P | 61 | CARRY-IN |
|  | MONTVILLE |  | KINGDOM BOG | 87 | CARRY-IN |
|  | MORRILL |  | CROSS P | 169 | CARRY-IN |
|  | PALERMO |  | BEECH P | 59 | CARRY-IN |
|  | PALERMO |  | BOWLER (Belton) P | 34 | CARRY-IN |
|  | PALERMO |  | JUMP P | 29 | CARRY-IN |
|  | PALERMO |  | FOSTER (Crotch) P | 31 | CARRY-IN |
|  | PALERMO |  | PRESCOTT P | 14 | CARRY-IN |


| COUNTY | TOWN |  | WATER | ACRES | ACCESS TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PALERMO |  | SABAN P | 11 | CARRY-IN |
|  | PROSPECT |  | HALFMOON P | 176 | CARRY-IN |
| WASHINGTON | CENTERVILLE | * | PEAKED MT P | 227 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | DANFORTH |  | GRAND LAKE (East) | 16,070 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | LAMBERT LAKE TWP |  | LAMBERT L | 605 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | MEDDYBEMPS |  | MEDDYBEMPS L | 6,765 | IMPROVED |
|  | NORTHFIELD | * | BOG L | 826 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | T05 ND BPP | * | GRAND L (West) | 14,340 | IMPROVED |
|  | T06 R01 NBPP |  | SHAWL | 211 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | T37 MD BPP | * | SECOND (Old Stream) | 104 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | TOPSFIELD | * | FARROW L | 224 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | TOPSFIELD |  | MUSQUASH L (East) | 806 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | VANCEBORO |  | SPEDNIK L | 17,219 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | WHITING | * | INDIAN L | 120 | UNIMPROVED |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YORK | ACTON |  | LOON P | 94 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | ACTON |  | MOOSE P | 27 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | ACTON | * | SQUARE P | 910 | IMPROVED |
|  | ACTON |  | WILSON L | 288 | IMPROVED |
|  | ALFRED |  | SHAKER P | 78 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | BUXTON |  | DUCK P | 9 | CARRY-IN |
|  | KENNEBUNK |  | ALEWIFE P | 37 | CARRY-IN |
|  | LEBANON |  | NORTHEAST P | 778 | IMPROVED |
|  | LIMERICK |  | HOLLAND (Sokosis) P | 192 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | LIMINGTON |  | HORNE (Pequawket) P | 166 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | LIMINGTON |  | SAND P | 26 | CARRY-IN |
|  | LIMINGTON |  | WARDS P | 44 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | LYMAN |  | BUNGANUT P | 280 | IMPROVED |
|  | LYMAN |  | ROBERTS \& WADLEY PD | 203 | IMPROVED |
|  | LYMAN |  | SWAN P | 147 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | NEWFIELD |  | BALCH \& STUMP PONDS | 704 | IMPROVED |
|  | PARSONSFIELD |  | PROVINCE L | 1,008 | IMPROVED |
|  | PARSONSFIELD |  | WEST P | 140 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | SANFORD |  | ESTES L | 387 | IMPROVED |
|  | SOUTH BERWICK |  | KNIGHT P | 49 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | SOUTH BERWICK |  | WARREN P | 45 | CARRY-IN |
|  | WATERBORO |  | ISINGLASS P | 30 | CARRY-IN |
|  | WATERBORO |  | LONE P | 8 | CARRY-IN |

## APPENDIX B -3

## Rivers with High or Moderate Fisheries Value in Need of Guaranteed or Additional Access Sites

Appendix B-3 - Rivers with high or moderate fisheries values in need of guaranteed public access or additional access, as identified by DIF\&W regional fisheries biologists. Rivers marked with an asterisk are those that have been given highest priority for access acquisition and development.

| COUNTY | TOWN |  | WATER | MILES | ACCESS TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANDROSCOGGIN | AUBURN | * | ANDROS. R (Little) | 1 | CARRY-IN |
|  | LIVERMORE |  | ANDROS. R | 20 | CARRY-IN |
| AROOSTOOK | ASHLAND |  | MACHIAS R. (Little) | 17 | CARRY-IN |
|  | BRIDGEWATER |  | PRESTILES | 4 | WALK-IN |
|  | EASTON |  | PRESTILES | 2 | WALK-IN |
|  | FORT FAIRFIELD | * | AROOSTOOK R | 5 | IMPROVED |
|  | MASARDIS | * | AROOSTOOK R | 12 | CARRY-IN |
|  | OXBOW PLT | * | AROOSTOOK R | 12 | CARRY-IN |
|  | PRESQUE ISLE | * | AROOSTOOK R | 12 | IMPROVED |
|  | FORT KENT |  | FISH R | 35 | CARRY-IN |
|  | FRENCHVILLE | * | SAINT JOHN R | 12 | IMPROVED |
|  | GRAND ISLE | * | SAINT JOHN R | 12 | IMPROVED |
|  | MADAWASKA | * | SAINT JOHN R | 12 | IMPROVED |
|  | HOULTON |  | MEDUXNEKEAG R | 12 | CARRY-IN |
|  | LITTLETON |  | MEDUXNEKEAG R | 9 | CARRY-IN |
|  | OAKFIELD |  | MATTAWAMKEAG R (East Branch) | 12 | CARRY-IN |
|  | PRESQUE ISLE |  | PRESTILES | 5 | WALK-IN |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CUMBERLAND | NAPLES |  | CROOKED R | 30 | WALK-IN |
|  | WINDHAM |  | PLEASANT R | 1 | WALK-IN |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRANKLIN | EUSTIS |  | DEAD R (North Br.) | 17 | CARRY-IN |
|  | EUSTIS |  | DEAD R (South Br.) | 17 | CARRY-IN |
|  | RANGELEY | * | KENNEBAGOR | 12 | CARRY-IN |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KENNEBEC | CLINTON |  | KENNEBEC R | 10 | WALK-IN |
|  | CLINTON |  | SEBASTICOOK R | 12 | CARRY-IN |
|  | WINSLOW |  | SEBASTICOOK R | 5 | UNIMPROVED |


| COUNTY | TOWN |  | WATER | MILES | ACCESS TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OXFORD | LOWER CUPSUPTIC | * | CUPSUPTIC R | 6 | CARRY-IN |
| PISCATAQUIS | SPENCER BAY TWP | * | ROACH RIVER ${ }^{1}$ | 9 | WALK-IN |
|  | DOVERFOXCROFT |  | PISCATAQUIS R | 9 | CARRY-IN |
|  | GUILFORD |  | PISCATAQUIS R | 6 | CARRY-IN |
|  | WILLIMANTIC | * | WILSON S | 1 | WALK-IN |
| SOMERSET | ROCKWD STRIP-E | * | MOOSE R | 5 | WALK-IN |
|  | PITTSFIELD |  | SEBASTICOOK R | 7 | UNIMPROVED |
|  | T03 R05 BKP WKR | * | SPENCER S | 11 | CARRY-IN |
| YORK | CORNISH |  | OSSIPEE R | 2 | CARRY-IN |
|  | LIMINGTON |  | OSSIPEE R (Little) | 5 | CARRY-IN |

${ }^{1}$ Although DIF\&W has acquired a significant portion of lands surrounding this important river, acquisition of remaining private lots in Frenchtown and Smithtown would be desirable to completely protect the river.

APPENDIX B -4

## Lakes over 500 Acres without Guaranteed Public Access in Priority Order Revised October 2000

PUBLIC ACCESS RATING ARE: $1=$ Government Entity / Large Landowner Controlled $3=$ Inadequate Access
2 = Private Access / Individual Allows
4 = No Access

DEPT INLAND FISHERIES \& WILDLIFE INDICATED NEED RATING IS: 4 = IF Mentioned
BUREAU OF PARKS \& RECREATION RATINGS ARE: $1=$ Mentioned once $3=$ Mentioned 3 times

$$
2=\text { Mentioned twice } \quad 4=\text { Mentioned } 4 \text { or more times }
$$

Lakes marked with a double asterisk are classified as management Class 1 or 6 lake under policies and standards of the Land Use Regulation Commission, with vehicular access prohibited. (See Issue 6)

Lakes marked with a triple asterisk are classified as management Class 2 lake under the Land Use Regulation Commission policies and standards, with access sites requiring special consideration. (See Issue 6)

| COUNTY | TOWN | LAKE |  | ACRES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PUBLIC } \\ & \text { ACCESS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DIF\&W INDICATED NEED | BPR <br> 1988 <br> SURVEY | BPR <br> 1991 <br> SURVEY | TOTAL RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KENNEBEC | FAYETTE | 1586 | PARKER P | 1513 | 3 | I | -0 | 4 | 11 |
| PENOBSCOT | LINCOLN | 2232 | COLD STREAM P (Upper) | 685 | 4 | U | -0 | 3 | 11 |
| ANDROSCOGGIN | POLAND | 3758 | TRIPP P | 768 | 3 | I | -0 | 4 | 11 |
| SOMERSET | CARATUNK | 0224 | PLEASANT P | 1120 | 2 | U | 4 | -0 | 10 |
| WASHINGTON | LAMBERT LAKE | 1332 | LAMBERT L | 605 | 2 | U | -0 | 4 | 10 |


| COUNTY | TOWN | CODE | LAKE <br> NAME | ACRES | PUBLIC <br> ACCESS | DIF\&W INDICATED NEED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BPR } \\ & \text { 1988 } \\ & \text { SURVEY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BPR } \\ & \text { 1991 } \\ & \text { SURVEY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AROOSTOOK | WESTON | 1068 | BRACKETT L | 576 | 4 | U | -0 | 2 | 10 |
| KENNEBEC | MONMOUTH | 9961 | ANNABESSACOOK L | 1420 | 3 | I | -0 | 2 | 09 |
| AROOSTOOK | T17 R04 WELS | 1680 | MUD L | 972 | 4 | C | -0 | -3 | 09 |
| KENNEBEC | WAYNE | 3824 | POCASSET L | 601 | 4 | U | -0 | 1 | 09 |
| KNOX | APPLETON | 5682 | SENNEBEC P | 532 | 3 | I | -0 | 1 | 08 |
| FRANKLIN | DAVIS TWP | 2374 | KENNEBAGO L (Big) | 1700 | 2 | U | -0 | 2 | 08 |
| OXFORD | HARTFORD | 3604 | ANASAGUNTICOOK L | 568 | 3 | U | -0 | 1 | 08 |
| LINCOLN | JEFFERSON | 5382 | CLARY L <br> (Pleasant P) | 666 | 3 | U | -0 | 1 | 08 |
| YORK | LEBANON | 3876 | NORTHEAST P | 778 | 2 | I | -0 | 2 | 08 |
| OXFORD | MAGALLOWAY P | 3104 | STURTEVANT P | 518 | 4 | U | -0 | -0 | 08 |
| PENOBSCOT | MT CHASE | 2202 | SHIN P (Upper) | 544 | 4 | U | -0 | -0 | 08 |
| WASHINGTON | NORTHFIELD | 1258 | BOG L | 826 | 3 | U | -0 | 1 | 08 |
| FRANKLIN | SANDY RIVER | 3562 | BEAVER MTN L | 543 | 3 | U | -0 | 1 | 08 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T01 R12 WELS | 0452 | ROACH P (Second) | 970 | 3 | U | 1 | -0 | 08 |
| PENOBSCOT | T05 R01 NBPP | 4708 | JUNIOR L | 3866 | 3 | U | -0 | 1 | 08 |
| AROOSTOOK | T16 R05 WELS | 1672 | SQUARE L | 8150 | 1 | U | 3 | -0 | 08 |
| AROOSTOOK | T18 R10 WELS | 9789 | GLAZIER L | 1120 | 4 | U | -0 | -0 | 08 |
| AROOSTOOK | T19 R11 WELS | 9785 | BEAU L | 2003 | 4 | U | -0 | -0 | 08 |
| ANDROSCOGGIN | AUBURN | 3750 | TAYLOR P | 625 | 2 | I | -0 | 1 | 07 |
| HANCOCK | ELLSWORTH | 4328 | BRANCH L | 2703 | 2 | I | 1 | -0 | 07 |
| OXFORD | FRYEBURG | 9709 | KEZAR P | 1299 | 3 | U | -0 | -0 | 07 |
| YORK | NEWFIELD | 3898 | BALCH \& STUMP PONDS | 704 | 2 | I | 1 | -0 | 07 |
| YORK | PARSONSFIELD | 9887 | PROVINCE L | 1008 | 3 | I | -0 | -0 | 07 |
| CUMBERLAND | RAYMOND | 3694 | PANTHER P | 1439 | 1 | I | 2 | -0 | 07 |
| WASHINGTON | T06 R01 NBPP | 1096 | MUSQUASH L (West) | 1613 | 3 | - | -0 | 4 | 07 |


| COUNTY | TOWN | CODE | AKE <br> NAME | ACRES | PUBLIC <br> ACCESS | DIF\&W INDICATED NEED | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BPR } \\ & \text { 1988 } \\ & \text { SURVEY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BPR } \\ & \text { 1991 } \\ & \text { SURVEY } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WASHINGTON | TOPSFIELD | 1088 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MUSQUASH L } \\ & \text { (East) } \end{aligned}$ | 806 | 3 | U | -0 | -0 | 07 |
| KNOX | WARREN | 5716 | SOUTH P | 548 | 2 | U | 1 | -0 | 07 |
| KENNEBEC | WINSLOW | 5458 | PATTEE P | 712 | 2 | I | -0 | 1 | 07 |
| SOMERSET | BALD MTN TWP | 0278 | AUSTIN P | 684 | 3 | - | 3 | -0 | 06 |
| PISCATAQUIS | BOWDOIN COL | 0410 | WILSON P (Upper) | 940 | 4 | - | -0 | 2 | 06 |
| HANCOCK | BROOKSVILLE | 4640 | WALKER P | 697 | 3 | - | -0 | 3 | 06 |
| SOMERSET | PARLIN POND | 2544 | PARLIN P | 543 | 2 | U | -0 | -0 | 06 |
| PENOBSCOT | STETSON | 2270 | PLEASANT (Stetson) L | 768 | 2 | - | -0 | 4 | 06 |
| PENOBSCOT | T05 R01 NBPP | 9649 | SCRAGGLEY L | 2758 | 1 | U | -0 | 1 | 06 |
| SOMERSET | HOBBSTOWN TW | 5104 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPENCER L } \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | 1819 | 3 | - | -0 | 2 | 05 |
| SOMERSET | KING \& BARTLETT | 5136 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { KING \& } \\ & \text { BARTLETT L } \end{aligned}$ | 538 | 4 | - | -0 | 1 | 05 |
| AROOSTOOK | MOLUNKUS TWP | 3040 | MATTASBUNK L | 576 | 3 | - | -0 | 2 | 05 |
| PISCATAQUIS | RAINBOW TWP | 0614 | $\underset{* *}{\text { RAINBOW L }}$ | 1664 | 4 | - | -0 | 1 | 05 |
| PENOBSCOT | T02 R09 NWP | 2140 | MATTAMISCON TIS L | 1025 | 4 | - | -0 | 1 | 05 |
| PENOBSCOT | T06 R08 WELS | 2178 | HAY L | 588 | 3 | - | 2 | -0 | 05 |
| WASHINGTON | T36 MD BPP | 1144 | MACHIAS L (Fifth) | 1069 | 4 | - | -0 | 1 | 05 |
| PENOBSCOT | BRADLEY | 4278 | CHEMO P | 1146 | 3 | - | 1 | -0 | 04 |
| PENOBSCOT | BURLINGTON | 2250 | ESKUTASSIS | 876 | 3 | - | -0 | 1 | 04 |
| WASHINGTON | CALAIS | 1418 | NASH L | 627 | 2 | - | 2 | -0 | 04 |
| SOMERSET | CARRYING PLC | 0048 | CARRY P (West) | 675 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| HANCOCK | DEDHAM | 4292 | MOUNTAINY P | 691 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| PENOBSCOT | HOPKINS ACAD | 2128 | NOLLESEMIC L | 660 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| OXFORD | LYNCHTOWN TWP | 3966 | PARMACHENEE L | 912 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| AROOSTOOK | ORIENT | 1063 | NORTH L | 970 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |


| COUNTY | TOWN | CODE | LAKE <br> NAME | ACRES | PUBLIC <br> ACCESS | DIF\&W <br> INDICATED NEED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BPR } \\ & 1988 \\ & \text { SURVEY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BPR } \\ & \text { 1991 } \\ & \text { SURVEY } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PISCATAQUIS | ORNEVILLE TWP | 2158 | BOYD L | 1005 | 3 | - | -0 | 1 | 04 |
| HANCOCK | OSBORN PLT | 4450 | SPECTACLE P (Spec) | 1754 | 3 | - | 1 | -0 | 04 |
| HANCOCK | OTIS | 4370 | FLOODS P | 654 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| PENOBSCOT | T01 R06 WELS | 3046 | SALMON STREAM L | 659 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T01 R10 WELS | 0984 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JO-MARY L } \\ & \text { (Lower) ** } \end{aligned}$ | 1910 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T01 R10 WELS | 0584 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEBSCONEAG L } \\ & \text { (3rd) ** } \end{aligned}$ | 1011 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| HANCOCK | T03 ND | 4756 | PISTOL L <br> (Lower) | 979 | 3 | - | -0 | 1 | 04 |
| PENOBSCOT | T03 R01 NBPP | 9635 | NUMBER THREE POND | 666 | 3 | - | -0 | 1 | 04 |
| PENOBSOCT | T03 R08 WELS | 2016 | KATAHDIN L ** | 717 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T03 R11 WELS | 0700 | HARRINGTON L | 1332 | 1 | - | 3 | -0 | 04 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T05 R09 NWP | 0914 | BEEMEE L | 940 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T06 R12 WELS | 2884 | MUD P | 1357 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T06 R13 WELS | 2886 | LONGLEY P | 749 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T06 R13 WELS | 2890 | UMBAZOOKSUS L | 1590 | 3 | - | -0 | 1 | 04 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T06 R14 WELS | 2896 | BLACK P | 1450 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T06 R15 WELS | 4024 | LOON L | 1140 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| PENOBSCOT | T07 R08 WELS | 3004 | MILLIMAGASSETT LAKE | 1410 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T07 R09 NWP | 0916 | HOUSTON P | 694 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T07 R14 WELS | 2876 | SHALLOW L | 1110 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| AROOSTOOK | T13 R12 WELS | 1470 | ROUND P *** | 697 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| AROOSTOOK | T13 R16 WELS | 1448 | DEPOT L | 883 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| AROOSTOOK | T17 R14 WELS | 1464 | EAST L | 2551 | 4 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| HANCOCK | T34 MD | 4498 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ALLIGATOR L } \\ & \text { *** } \end{aligned}$ | 1159 | 3 | - | -0 | 1 | 04 |
| HANCOCK | T39 MD | 9651 | BRANDY P | 723 | 3 | - | 1 | -0 | 04 |
| HANCOCK | T40 MD | 4766 | NICATOUS L | 5165 | 3 | - | -0 | 1 | 04 |


| COUNTY | TOWN | CODE | LAKE <br> NAME | ACRES | PUBLIC <br> ACCESS | DIF\&W INDICATED NEED | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BPR } \\ & \text { 1988 } \\ & \text { SURVEY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BPR } \\ & \text { 1991 } \\ & \text { SURVEY } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OXFORD | TOWNSHIP C | 3328 | POND IN THE RIVER | 512 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 04 |
| KNOX | UNION | 4810 | CRAWFORD P | 591 | 2 | - | -0 | 2 | 04 |
| WASHINGTON | WHITING | 1368 | SUNKEN \& ROCKY LAKES | 1126 | 3 | - | -0 | 1 | 04 |
| AROOSTOOK | WINTERVILLE | 1610 | ST FROID L | 2400 | 3 | - | -0 | 1 | 04 |
| WASHINGTON | ALEXANDER | 1290 | POCAMOONSHINE LAKE | 2464 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| WASHINGTON | CALAIS | 1428 | HOWARD L | 527 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| WASHINGTON | CHARLOTTE | 1402 | PENNAMAQUAN L | 1209 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 3 |
| WASHINGTON | CRAWFORD | 1302 | CRAWFORD L | 1677 | 1 | - | 2 | 10 | 03 |
| WASHINGTON | DEBLOIS | 7449 | BOG BROOK FLOWAGE | 565 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| SOMERSET | DOLE BROOK T | 9861 | LONG P | 845 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| HANCOCK | EASTBROOK | 4346 | WEBB P | 915 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| WASHINGTON | GRAND LAKE S | 1288 | BIG L | 10,305 | 1 | - | -0 | 2 | 03 |
| PENOBSCOT | LAKEVILLE PL | 4688 | SYSLADOBSIS L (Upper) | 1142 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 3 |
| PENOBSCOT | LINCOLN | 2330 | UPPER P | 506 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| SOMERSET | PITTSFIELD | 5472 | DOUGLAS P | 566 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| PISCATAQUIS | SHAWTOWN TWP | 0482 | ROACH P (Third) | 570 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T02 R10 WELS | 2064 | HURD P | 640 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| PENOBSCOT | T03 R09 NWP | 0942 | ENDLESS L | 1499 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T05 R12 WELS | 2892 | CUXABEXIS L | 592 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| SOMERSET | T05 R17 WELS | 2414 | ST JOHN P <br> (Fifth) | 670 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T06 R10 WELS | 2718 | WEBSTER L | 531 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| SOMERSET | T06 R17 WELS | 2412 | BIG BOG | 1064 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| AROOSTOOK | T07 R05 WELS | 3080 | UMCOLCUS L | 630 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| PENOBSCOT | T07 R07 WELS | 3011 | GRAND LAKE SEBOEIS | 2483 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T07 R09 NWP | 0800 | LONG POND | 643 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T07 R12 WELS | 2866 | INDIAN P | 1222 | 3 | 1 | -0 | -0 | 03 |


| COUNTY | TOWN | CODE | LAKE <br> NAME | ACRES | PUBLIC <br> ACCESS | DIF\&W INDICATED NEED | BPR 1988 <br> SURVEY | BPR <br> 1991 <br> SURVEY | TOTAL <br> RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PISCATAQUIS | T08 R10 WELS | 4180 | MUNSUNGAN L *** | 1415 | 3 | 1 | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T09 R11 WELS | 2756 | PLEASANT L (Big) | 979 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T10 R11 WELS | 1938 | CLEAR L ${ }^{* * *}$ | 614 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T10 R11 WELS | 1920 | MUSQUACOOK LAKE (fourth) | 749 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T10 R13 WELS | 1906 | PRIESTLY L | 645 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| AROOSTOOK | T12 R08 WELS | 1960 | MACHIAS L (Big) | 692 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| PISCATAQUIS | TB R11 WELS | 0478 | B POND | 644 | 3 | - | -0 | -0 | 03 |
| PENOBSCOT | BURLINGTON | 2254 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MADAGASCAL } \\ & \text { POND (Big) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 750 | 2 | - | -0 | -0 | 02 |
| HANCOCK | GREAT POND | 4604 | GREAT POND | 679 | 1 | - | 1 | -0 | 02 |
| SOMERSET | LONG POND TWP | 2536 | LONG POND | 3053 | 1 | - | -0 | 1 | 02 |
| OXFORD | OTISFIELD | 3446 | PLEASANT L | 1077 | 1 | - | -0 | 1 | 02 |
| WASHINGTON | PERRY | 1404 | BOYDEN L | 1702 | 1 | - | 1 | -0 | 02 |
| SOMERSET | PIERCE POND | 0086 | PIERCE P *** | 1650 | 2 | - | -0 | -0 | 02 |
| CUMBERLAND | SEBAGO | 3374 | PEABODY P | 735 | 1 | - | -0 | 1 | 02 |
| AROOSTOOK | T01 R05 WELS | 3038 | MOLUNKUS L | 1050 | 1 | ? | -0 | 1 | 02 |
| SOMERSET | T03 R04 BKP | 0170 | SPRING L | 762 | 2 | - | -0 | -0 | 02 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T05 R11 WELS | 2730 | SOURDNAHUN K LAKE | 1394 | 2 | - | -0 | -0 | 02 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T05 R13 WELS | 2898 | BRANDY P | 650 | 1 | - | 1 | -0 | 02 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T06 R14 WELS | 4012 | CAUCOMGOMOC LAKE | 5081 | 1 | - | 1 | -0 | 02 |
| WASHINGTON | T08 R04 NBPP | 1072 | HOT BROOK L (Upper) | 713 | 2 | - | -0 | -0 | 02 |
| WASHINGTON | T08 R04 NBPP | 1076 | HOT BROOK L <br> (Lower) | 912 | 2 | - | -0 | -0 | 02 |
| WASHINGTON | T19 BD BPP | 1264 | LONG L | 698 | 2 | - | -0 | -0 | 02 |
| PISCATAQUIS | TA R10 WELS | 0243 | JO-MARY L (Upper) *** | 1873 | 2 | - | -0 | -0 | 02 |
| SOMERSET | BALD MTN TWP | 0314 | BALD MTN P | 1152 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |


| COUNTY | TOWN | CODE | AKE <br> NAME | ACRES | PUBLIC <br> ACCESS | DIF\&W INDICATED NEED | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BPR } \\ & \text { 1988 } \\ & \text { SURVEY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BPR } \\ & \text { 1991 } \\ & \text { SURVEY } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HANCOCK | BUCKSPORT | 5540 | SILVER L | 630 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| HANCOCK | BEDHAM | 4300 | PHILLIPS L (Lucerne) | 828 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| WASHINGTON | DEVEREAUX TWP | 1172 | MOPANG L | 1487 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| SOMERSET | DOLE BROOK TWP | 2454 | DOLE POND | 704 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| PISCATAQUIS | E MIDDLESEX | 0404 | SPENCER POND | 980 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| PISCATAQUIS | LAKE VIEW PL | 0956 | SCHOODIC L | 7168 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| OXFORD | MAGALLOWAY P | 3102 | UMBAGOG L | 7850 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| WASHINGTON | MARION TWP | 1374 | SECOND L | 1650 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| HANCOCK | ORLAND | 4336 | ALAMOOSCOOK LAKE | 1133 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| PENOBSCOT | ORRINGTON | 4284 | BREWER |  | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| SOMERSET | PITTSTON ACA | 2516 | CANADA FALLS LAKE | 2627 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| SOMERSET | SEBOOMOOK TWP | 4048 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEBOOMOOK } \\ & \text { LAKE } \end{aligned}$ | 6448 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| SOMERSET | ST ALBANS | 5464 | INDIAN P (Big) | 990 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| HANCOCK | SULLIVAN | 4388 | FLANDERS P | 537 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T02 R13 WELS | 2936 | RAGGED L | 2712 | 1 | - |  |  |  |
| PENOBSCOT | T03 R09 NWP | 2130 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { BRANCH L } \\ \text { (East) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 1100 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| HANCOCK | T04 ND | 4736 | CHAIN L (Upper) | 717 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| SOMERSET | T04 R05 NBKP | 0339 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PENOBSCOT L } \\ & * * * \end{aligned}$ | 1019 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| PENOBSOCT | T06 R08 WELS | 4260 | MATAGAMON L | 4165 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| PENOBSCOT | T07 R07 WELS | 3028 | SNOWSHOE L | 638 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T07 R11 WELS | 2814 | HAYMOCK L | 704 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T09 R12 WELS | 2780 | CLIFF L *** | 563 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| PISCATAQUIS | T10 R 15 WELS | 1888 | ROSS L | 2892 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| AROOSTOOK | T11 R11 WELS | 1916 | MUSQUACOOK LAKE (2nd) | 813 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| AROOSTOOK | R12 R11 WELS | 1914 | MUSQUACOOK | 698 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |


| COUNTY | TOWN | LAKE |  | ACRES | B)UBLIC PUBLIC ACCESS | DIF\&W INDICATED NEED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BPR } \\ & \text { 1988 } \\ & \text { SURVEY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BPR } \\ & \text { 1991 } \\ & \text { SURVEY } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LAKE (1st) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AROOSTOOK | T14 R08 WELS | 0009 | FISH RIVER L | 2642 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| WASHINGTON | T19 ED BPP | 1238 | LOVE L | 672 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| HANCOCK | T22 MD | 4476 | ROCKY POND | 666 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| WASHINGTON | ED BPP | 1304 | CLIFFORD L | 954 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| HANCOCK | T28 MD | 4482 | LEAD MTN P (Upper) | 1021 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| HANCOCK | T35 MD | 4784 | SABAO LAKE <br> (Lower) | 755 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| PENOBSCOT | T4 INDIAN P | 0986 | JO-MARY LAKE | 1152 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| WASHINGTON | T42 MD BPP | 1148 | MACHIAS LAKE (Fourth) | 1539 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| WASHINGTON | T42 MD BPP | 1124 | MACHIAS LAKE (Third) ${ }^{* * *}$ | 2778 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |
| WASHINGTON | T43 MD BPP | 1116 | WABASSUS L | 953 | 1 | - | -0 | -0 | 01 |


[^0]:    15 MRSA section 6203-A

[^1]:    ${ }^{2} 12$ MRSA, Section 7781 et seq
    ${ }^{3} 12$ MRSA section 1863

[^2]:    436 MRSA $\int 2093$-A
    ${ }^{5}$ In November of $1999, \$ 250,000$ was transferred to IF\&W for that agency to proactively acquire sites. Because the requirements on using federal monies are so cumbersome, they use this allocation as a pool of funds for when the agency must move quickly to acquire land.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ One time transfer of funds.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ Based on average wage and benefit costs for all classes of enforcement personnel.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cost of boats, planes and trucks are based on average costs of maintaining and operating such equipment.

